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THE COMMISSIONER: Before we begin, I must express my gratitude to those who record the testimony for the transcript for their fortitude during the late sitting yesterday and also for putting up with the early start this morning. It is not generally appreciated how much strain there is on them when they work beyond the usual sitting hours.
Yes, Mr Stoljar?

MR STOLJAR: The first witness is Mr Elliott.
<ROBERT JOHN ALEXANDER ELLIOTT, affirmed:
[9.35am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR STOLJAR:
MR STOLJAR: Q. Could you tell the Commission your full name?
A. It's Robert John Alexander Elliott.
Q. You are a resident of Victoria?
A. I am.
Q. Your current occupation?
A. I am retired.
Q. You previously worked at the HSU?
A. I did.
Q. You held various offices, including that of industrial officer?
A. Yes.
Q. You were Assistant Branch Secretary of the Victoria No. 2 Branch?
A. Yes.
Q. You were a member of the National Executive?
A. Yes.
Q. You were National Secretary between 1995 and 2002?
A. Yes. I was also a Senior Industrial Officer at the Victoria No. 2 Branch.
Q. Can I show you a document.
A. Yes.
Q. This is a document headed "Statement of Robert Elliott".
A. Yes.
Q. It is a document that you prepared yourself?
A. I did.
Q. You prepared it for the purpose of legal proceedings you brought or were considering bringing?
A. Considering bringing.
Q. You must have worked on it for some considerable period of time? It is a lengthy document.
A. I really don't recall. I am quite used to putting documents of this nature together so perhaps, perhaps not. I can't really --
Q. You supplied it to your solicitors for the purposes of legal proceedings you were considering bringing at that time?
A. Yes, to give them a general background about a wide range of things, yes.
Q. You prepared the document carefully, I take it?
A. Yes.
Q. Knowing that you were going to be supplying it to your solicitors?
A. Yes.
Q. And that it may be used, even by way of background, for the purposes of legal proceedings you were contemplating?
A. Yes, I suppose.
Q. And you satisfied yourself that the document was true and correct before you supplied it to your solicitors?
A. Yes. If I had - if I were about to make a sworn statement, I probably would give it a lot more attention, a lot more focus, but $I$ was broadly satisfied, yes, of course.
Q. You certainly weren't proposing to be deceitful in this statement, were you?
A. No.
Q. It was a comprehensive background document which you
were providing to your lawyers?
A. Yes.
Q. You contemplated that it might be used in legal proceedings?
A. Well, yes and no. I mean even at the time I would have understood there was material in that statement that weren't really relevant to the matters likely to be in dispute between myself and the HSU.
Q. Quite so. But setting aside issues of relevance and the like, you contemplated that this was a document which would provide your lawyers important background which they would be able to use in the legal proceedings?
A. Important background. Some of the material would be more important than other of the material in the document, obviously.
Q. Could you come to paragraph 55 of the document, please. Just to put it in context, you are describing here in this paragraph your dealings with other persons in or associated with the labour movement. You are describing in particular some dealings that you had with Ms Gillard?
A. Yes.
Q. You say in 55:

Ms Gillard was supportive of a 'reform group' in which I was involved in the HSU in the late 1980s.

Who were the members of that reform group?
A. Well, a reform group I think, generally speaking in all unions, is pretty ill-defined. It would at least, I think, include the candidates in an election, but probably more broadly their cadre of supporters.
Q. Did that include Ms Darveniza?
A. Yes, she was a lead candidate.
Q. This is in the late '80s?
A. '89 I think was the election.
Q. '89. You say:

Ms Gillard and Slater \& Gordon became legal advisers to the ... No. 2 Branch ...

That was at about that time, was it?
A. Some time later. I'm not quite sure when.
Q. You say.
... Ms Gillard continued to give, not just strictly legal advice, but also offered more general political counsel.

You mean to yourself and others?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You knew her personally, I take it?
A. I've known Julia since university days, yes.
Q. You say:

It was generally understood that success for Ms Gillard's allies in union elections was of benefit to Ms Gillard's political career and, in turn, Ms Gillard's advancement would benefit those unions (and the union movement generally).

Just looking at that sentence a bit more carefully, you say, "It was generally understood", you mean among those with whom you were associating in the HSU?
A. That's generally understood in the labour movement. There are factions with the factual leaders and grandees. I was a member of a faction which included Ms Gillard. It was widely accepted. I mean, amongst a great number of people, Ms Gillard was a rising star. As far as I can remember, I was also of the belief that Ms Gillard would one day be Prime Minister. There are some people who just strike you as being of that ilk.
Q. You say:

It was generally understood that success
for Ms Gillard's allies in union elections
was of benefit to Ms Gillard's political career.

You mean because persons who had received the support of Ms Gillard in union elections would be able, to turn, to throw their support behind Ms Gillard in her political
career?
A. That's the way it works in the Labor Party, Mr Stoljar.
Q. You say it would also work the other way, Ms Gillard's advancement would benefit those unions?
A. I think that's correct. That's also how it works in the Labor Party and I suspect every other political party.
Q. Two way street?
A. Two way street.
Q. Could you come to paragraph 56. You say:

Such was the closeness of the political relationship that Ms Gillard felt able, on
one occasion, to offer, at a meeting of me,
her and another senior HSU official, to
undertake the legal work to establish a fundraising entity, outside of the union, to raise funds for the re-election in the HSU of the officers of that entity but established for the ostensible purpose of promoting occupational health and safety in the health industry.
A. Yes.
Q. Looking more closely at 56 , you say this occurred on one occasion. You had a recollection, when you drafted paragraph 56, of that particular occasion?
A. I would have had a recollection of some nature, yes.
Q. You were describing a particular occasion, not simply some general course of relationship over a period of time when you wrote 56; correct?
A. Well, yes, I imagine I was, yes.
Q. When was that occasion?
A. When was the occasion when I wrote this?
Q. No. When did the occasion take place?
A. I don't know. You know, I imagine there was a range of discussions that I and others from the branch had with
Ms Gillard over, you know, two or three years from the date of the election in which the formed group was successful. I imagine it was some time after that occasion but I'm not
entirely sure.
Q. After ' 89 is that what you meant?
A. After the elections in '89.
Q. So what, in the early '90s?
A. Late '89, early 1990. That's the sort of period I envisage.
Q. The occasion took place in late 1989 or the early '90s and you say, "It was at a meeting of me, her and another senior HSU official." That was Ms Darveniza, was it?
A. At the time of writing this, I apprehended that that's right, it was Ms Darveniza.
Q. Where did the meeting take place?
A. I have no idea.
Q. It took place at your home, didn't it?
A. I doubt it.
Q. You doubt it?
A. Yes. At the time we had a very small apartment and we didn't really receive guests that frequently. I don't know that Ms Gillard ever attended those premises.
Q. In any event, there was a meeting comprising three people: yourself, Ms Gillard and another senior HSU official.
A. Well, Mr Stoljar, you keep saying that. As you know from our discussions yesterday, I no longer believe key elements of this paragraph.
Q. I know that you now say that your position has changed. What I am endeavouring to elucidate from you is your recollection when you crafted 56.
A. Sure. Okay. As long as it is understood then --

MR CLELLAND: Commissioner, just on the basis of that previous answer from the witness, I have a concern about the way that the questioning has proceeded to date in a leading form. It might be appropriate, given the witness's obvious unease about adopting the statement, perhaps from here on in, it might be appropriate for Mr Stoljar to ask this witness whether, firstly, the paragraph is correct; if it is not correct, what the witness actually says about it. We understand that this witness is being called principally
today to actually lead evidence of the content of that statement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Stoljar?
MR STOLJAR: My questions were proceeding on the basis that when this witness crafted paragraph 56, he had a particular recollection of events. That was the premise underlying the questions $I$ was putting. If that needs to be clarified, I will do that with the witness now. There is a separate question as to what the witness says his recollection is as he sits here today in the witness box. One needs to take it in steps, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I do not know that any particular ruling is called for. Mr Clelland's general warning, I suppose, about leading questions is a reasonable proposition.

MR STOLJAR: Yes.
Q. Can I approach it this way, Mr Elliott: as I understood it, when you crafted 56 , you regarded at that time, in 2012, it as being the correct reflection of your memory of the occasion on which --

MR CLELLAND: That is objectionable, sir, with respect.
MR STOLJAR: I press the question.
THE COMMISSIONER: It may be leading but there are some leading questions that are really necessary to bring the witness's mind to a particular point.

MR CLELLAND: With respect, I am not sure the question or the issue falls into that category at the moment. It may be an open question which simply asks what the witness's state of mind was, or whether it represented the facts at the time would be preferable.

MR STOLJAR: There was nothing objectionable about the question but in order to save time.
Q. Mr Elliott, when you crafted paragraph 56 , did you have a recollection of the occasion to which you make reference?
A. Well, I would have, yes.
Q. Do you say that your recollection has changed?
A. Yes.
Q. When did your recollection change?
A. About - the process began about two weeks ago when my wife was contacted by staff of counsel - sorry, solicitors assisting.
Q. You had conversations with your wife, did you?
A. I did.
Q. Your wife and you discussed the matter?
A. We did, at length.
Q. And you arrived at the view that your recollection was wrong?
A. I did.
Q. Do you adhere to the position that there was an occasion at which a meeting took place involving yourself, Ms Gillard and your wife?
A. There have been numerous occasions of that nature.
Q. Was there one such occasion in 1989 or the early 1990s?
A. There would have been at least one such occasion in '89 and early 1990.
Q. On that occasion --
A. Not necessarily dealing with the subject matter the subject of these paragraphs.
Q. On that occasion did --
A. On which occasion, Mr Stoljar?
Q. On the occasion to which you make reference in paragraph 56 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- did Ms Gillard raise with you and your wife the question of raising funds for the re-election in the HSU of officers of an entity established outside of the union?
A. I believe so.
Q. So there was a meeting at which that was said. Was there further discussion about the entity established
outside the union being established for the ostensible purpose of promoting occupational health and safety?
A. I no longer believe that's right.
Q. You say, do you, as you sit here today, you recollect a meeting --
A. Well, Mr Stoljar, I recollect that meetings occurred.

To say I recollect a meeting is not quite accurate.
I don't have a visualisation of a meeting or its location
or even what the agenda of that meeting might have been.
Q. Can you come back to 56. When you crafted 56, you had at that time a recollection of a particular meeting?
A. I don't know what was - apart from what's in the paragraph itself, I don't have any recollection of having a recollection of some - the particulars of a meeting.
Q. It was a simple question, Mr Elliott. When you crafted 56, you had a recollection of a particular meeting; is that right?
A. Well, when you say "recollection", I would have believed that a meeting had occurred, yes. Mr Stoljar, I'm a bit concerned you're saying therefore that I would remember where it happened, you know, what time of the day it happened, you know, the particulars of a meeting. I do have a visualisation of a meeting --
Q. I am not asking you about --
A. I can construct one. When you ask the question,

I construct one in my mind.
Q. I don't want you to construct anything, Mr Elliott. I am just looking at paragraph 56.
A. Yes.
Q. Paragraph 56 you drafted in about 2012 ?
A. Yes, I think so.
Q. The preparation of the statement took some time?
A. Well, I think I have already answered that. I don't
think it did take a great deal of time, no.
Q. But you had --
A. I'm fairly practised in putting these sort of documents together given my experience as an industrial advocate.
Q. You were describing in 2012 a meeting that you say took place. This is your description in 2012 of an event I'm sorry. You were describing in paragraph 56 an event that you say took place a considerable period of time before, in the early '90s?
A. Well, yes, 25 years ago, yes, indeed, maybe $24,25$.
Q. When you came to craft this statement, you hadn't discussed it with your wife?
A. No.
Q. And 56 represented your own recollection of events at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Your recollection now has changed on your discussing it with another witness over the last couple of weeks, is that what you say?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. You accept still, do you, that a meeting took place in about that time, or you say in fact a number of meetings took place?
A. Yes. Yes
Q. There was discussion about legal work to establish a fundraising entity outside of the union?
A. Well, there was a discussion about a fundraising
entity. I don't think it got to the stage of discussing legal work since no entity was - it was decided no entity be established. No entity was established.
Q. In 56 you say that was to raise funds for the re-election in the HSU of officers of that entity?
A. Well, I believe so, yes.
Q. You say now that you have no recollection of the fund being established with the ostensible purpose of promoting occupational health and safety?
A. Well, I believe that's wrong.
Q. That's wrong?
A. Yes. I don't believe there was a discussion about that. I believe I have conflated other things to come up with - at the time of making this statement, to come up with a false scenario. False in the sense $I$ believed it at the time, but I no longer believe it.
Q. You believed it to be true in 2012; you now believe it to be false?
A. Yes.
Q. And your belief that it's false has been derived from discussions you have had in the last couple of weeks with your wife?
A. Well, yes, and those discussions have caused me my confidence in my memory of these events and of the period to be fundamentally shaken, Mr Stoljar.
Q. Your wife being other witness before the Commission. Your witness indeed, Mr Stoljar.
Q. I take it that in 57 you were endeavouring to recount what your recollection of events was when you crafted your draft statement?
A. Yes.
Q. And you say in 57:

This offer was not taken up by me or others on the basis that it seemed an exotic and suspect arrangement ...

Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. In 2012 when you were crafting 57 , what did you mean by "suspect arrangement"?
A. Look, I don't rightly know. I don't rightly know.
Q. You don't know?
A. Well, I imagine it means that --
Q. Well, not what you imagine --
A. -- an irregular --
Q. I'm asking what you --
A. I think I meant at the time - I wrote it two years ago, Mr Stoljar. I tried to know what was in my mind when I used the term "two years ago." I imagine "irregular" would probably have been a better term than "suspect".
Q. You say in 57:
... since the promotion of workplace health
and safety was the proper preserve of the
union itself.
A. Yes. Again I think, as I say, I have a belief about having conflated some other matters with this issue so as to be - so as to, in 2012, have this what I now understand to be false belief.
Q. But again, your understanding that what you say in 57 is false is derived from conversations with your wife in the last two weeks?
A. Well --
Q. Is that right?
A. Well, that's --
Q. Just tell me if that's right or not?
A. It is not capable of being answered "yes" or "no", Mr Stoljar.
Q. Why not?
A. Because it is a process of not - it's a process of having my memory jolted about things I had completely forgotten about and really, had I remembered those things at the time of making this statement, then I hope I would not have made this statement in the terms that it's made.
Q. And that view of what you said in 57 was false, was derived from conversations that you had with your wife in the last two weeks? Are you able to answer that "yes" or "no"?
A. Derived and my memory was agitated by those conversations, yes.
Q. Then you say in 57:

To my knowledge, no such entity as that described then by Ms Gillard has ever operated in connection with the HSU. I understand that fundraising entities operate in association with several other unions.

That reflected your true understanding as at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. What were the other unions to which make you make reference?
A. Transport Workers' Union, the AWU. I can't recall any others just at the moment.
Q. The position is this: when you prepared your draft statement, you did so without consideration as to whether it would ultimately be the subject of evidence in a Royal Commission; correct?
A. It is a great distress to me that now having formed the view it is a false memory I'm describing here, that it is the subject of consideration by a Royal Commission. To say that I am embarrassed about it doesn't begin to describe it, Mr Stoljar.
Q. What I suggest to you is that what you say in 56 and 57 reflected your truthful belief when you crafted your statement?
A. When I crafted the statement, yes.
Q. And it is still a truthful record of what occurred back in the early '90s; correct?
A. It is not.

MR STOLJAR: Nothing further. Thank you, Commissioner.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR CLELLAND:

MR CLELLAND: Q. Mr Elliott, your unease about the statement as it's described springs from a number of factors. Can I suggest the first of those is that it is on1y a draft?
A. Yes, very much so.
Q. It is clear on the face of the document and throughout the document that it is an incomplete document?

THE COMMISSIONER: We seem to have --
MR STOLJAR: Yes, "pot" and "kettle" spring to mind.
THE COMMISSIONER: -- a fashion for leading questions this morning.

MR CLELLAND: I don't occupy the position of counsel assisting before this Commission.

THE COMMISSIONER: The witness is essentially hostile to Mr Stoljar; the witness is not hostile to you.

MR CLELLAND: We noticed that, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Clelland, the weight of your answers is diminished by the leading character of the questions and the weight of the answers may be increased by the non-leading technique that is employed.

MR CLELLAND: I hear that, Commissioner, but we sat and listened to the attempts, in effect, to have the witness adopt in particular paragraph 56. That's our concern. We don't intend to transgress. We haven't had conferences with this witness. He's not our witness. I will see if I can avoid leading questions lest they detract from the weight of the answers.
Q. Mr Elliott, is this a concluded statement by you?
A. No, far from it.
Q. How would you describe it? As a draft or as a completed statement?
A. Well, obviously it's very much a draft. It's largely - much of it is incomplete.
Q. Yes. Mr Stoljar asked you early on in his questioning whether the document was at least drafted in anticipation of possible legal proceedings.
A. Yes.
Q. Without necessarily going into those, was the statement at that time, albeit in draft form, was it being drafted by you to support your position, your bargaining position, with the HSU?
A. Well, no. I mean, even at the time of writing this, you know, I would have understood there were issues in it that are not relevant to the matter in dispute between myself and the HSU. It's a - you know, I think at the time I joked to my solicitors that, you know, a bit of it was therapy involved in writing this statement to get things off my chest, and I think at the time my solicitors remarked, "Well, much of the stuff is simply not relevant to matters that go between you and the HSU".
Q. Yes. In any event, you do not adhere to the content of paragraph 56?
A. I do not.
Q. It is not simply a case, as I understand your answers, of you having a failing recollection. What you have told the Commissioner is that in fact the content of that paragraph is untrue?
A. Well, I don't believe it now. I believed it at the time of writing it, but not now.
Q. Just in conclusion, you would ask the Commission not to place any reliance on paragraph 56 or paragraph 57; is that right?
A. I most certainly would do that, and I would also like to record my acute embarrassment and my apologies to your client for causing her any distress or discomfort, that would be mortifying to me. I now believe this is not an accurate statement, and I'm very apologetic that it's made its way into the public domain. It was not my intention that it do that. It was not my intention that it be given any status, certainly not before a body like the Royal Commission, and to say that I feel extremely embarrassed is a gross understatement.

MR CLELLAND: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Let me ask a question. Does anyone else want to ask any questions and on what basis?
Mr Stoljar, are you going to tender this?
MR STOLJAR: Yes, Commissioner.
MR CLELLAND: We object to that, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: On what ground?
MR CLELLAND: If it is being tendered as truth of its contents, we object. If it is being tendered as in effect identification of the document, we don't object.

THE COMMISSIONER: In your last question or two, in effect, you successfully invited the witness completely to abandon paragraphs 56 and 57 and he gave various items of testimony before that that perhaps didn't go that far. Isn't it desirable to have the entire document just to assess whether or not there might be some credibility to be attached to paragraphs 56 and 57 or a key part of it?

MR CLELLAND: We would have thought that issue was, in effect, resolved already. Commissioner, as I say, we would object if it is being tendered as the truth of its contents. The witness hasn't adopted it, but the witness has acknowledged that it is a document that he drafted and, on that basis, we wouldn't object to its tender.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think I will admit it on that wider basis and call it Elliott MFI-1.

## ELLIOTT MFI\#1 STATEMENT OF ROBERT ELLIOTT

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask a question of Mr Elliott. I may not have written down one of your answers correctly, but this is my note. This was at a point when you were indicating that the last part of paragraph 56 was not now your present recollection and that you abandoned it.
A. Yes .
Q.

I believe I have conflated other things to come up with - at the time of making this statement, to come up with a false scenario.
ie, the statement in paragraph 56. What were the other things you had conflated?
A. I believe there was - well, Commissioner, first of all, in discussions with my wife there were major matters which we had conferred with Ms Gillard with that I'd completely forgotten about. One of those was a process whereby we would set up some sort of health and welfare body or committee, probably by a rule change to deal with welfare claims by members, and I had entirely forgotten about that matter. It is very confronting for me to know I had forgotten about it because it was a very important issue at the time and one which was centred on a major industrial issue at the time. I was the industrial officer, I should have remembered that, I didn't remember it, and it caused me to have very much shaken confidence in my memory of the period. I believe it was that event, that subject matter, which I had conflated to develop a false memory of the matters put to me by Mr Stoljar.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Clelland, does anything arise out of that question?

MR CLELLAND: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Stoljar?
MR STOLJAR: Mr Elliott could be excused, unless anyone has any objection.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr Elliott, you are excused from further attendance. Thank you for coming up from Victoria for your evidence.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, the next witness is Ms Darveniza.
<KAYE MARY DARVENIZA, sworn:
[10.05am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR STOLJAR:
MR STOLJAR: Q. Could you tell the Commission your full name?
A. Kaye Mary Darveniza.
Q. You are a resident of Victoria?
A. That's correct.
Q. You are a member of the Legislative Council?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have prepared a statement dated 8 September 2014. Do you have a copy of that? We can provide you with one.
A. I do, yes.
Q. You have a copy?
A. Yes.
Q. Is the content of that statement true and correct? A. Yes, it is.

MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, I would ask that Ms Darveniza's statement be received into evidence.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I do not have a copy of it, not
that that affects its admissibility. Is there any objection to it? Do you have a copy of it, Mr Clelland?

MR CLELLAND: I do. It is one of the ones we received yesterday afternoon, Commissioner. We are actually digging around ourselves. Thank you, we do have a copy of it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Un1ess there is any objection, it will be received into evidence.

MR CLELLAND: If the Commission pleases.
MFI\#2 STATEMENT OF KAYE MARY DARVENIZA DATED 08/09/2014
MR STOLJAR: Q. Ms Darveniza, after leaving school you studied nursing?
A. That's correct.
Q. In 1975 you qualified as a registered psychiatric nurse?
A. That's correct.
Q. Then you left the workforce for some years and in 1986 you became an organiser with the HSU?
A. I think that that's the right year, yes.
Q. About then?
A. Yes, about then.
Q. Which branch of the HSU?
A. The No. 2 Branch.
Q. In due course, in 1989, you became State Secretary of that branch?
A. Yes.
Q. You held that position for 10 years?
A. That's correct.
Q. In 1999 you became a member of the Legislative Council in Victoria?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. You were and still are married to Mr Elliott?
A. That's correct.
Q. Who also held positions at the HSU No. 2 Branch?
A. Correct.
Q. In your statement you describe various dealings that you had with Ms Gillard and others, beginning at paragraph 7. At paragraph 7 you are describing the setting up of an election fund. In paragraph 14, you say:

To the best of my recollection, another matter briefly discussed was the establishment of a fund for general political fundraising.

Did you mean within the union or across the broader labour movement?
A. To the best of my recollection it was a fund that would raise money as I - well, it was never stipulated what it was going to raise money for. It was one of those things that was talked about very briefly. I saw it as being a bit like a fund that would raise money in the way that we had raised money for my election, during my election campaign, to become the State Secretary for the No. 2 Branch, and we ran dinners and comedy nights and raffles, and all sorts of activities, to get the money to run the campaign and, you know, a whole range of different supporters and people and families of supporters came to those fundraisers. My recollection is that it would be something similar to that. My thinking, as best as I can remember it, is that it was about me being re-elected in four years time when I would be up for election again. It was not something that was discussed for any length of time or given a great deal of consideration, and I think that I thought that - I think that my thinking was that, you know, if we had the voluntary contribution being made by, you know, the officials of the union, then that we'd have enough money for me to be able to run a decent campaign in four years time when the election came up.
Q. If you have a look at paragraph 16 of your statement, you say:

> I understand from Rob Elliott that in a 2012 document, Mr Elliott has described a proposal ...

Et cetera.
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. You say you have no recollection of such a proposal. You had discussions with Mr Elliott over the last couple of weeks about that matter?
A. We've had a lot of discussions. We've spoken of little else since we were contacted by the Commission to give - to come and give evidence about this.
Q. You were contacted by the Commission?
A. Yes, I was contacted by the Commission.
Q. Not Mr Elliott?
A. No. Later on he was contacted by the Commission.

Yes, you're correct, I was contacted.
Q. Did you have any discussion with Ms Gillard back in the early '90s about establishing an incorporated association for the purpose of fundraising?
A. I have no recollection of that, no.
Q. Is this the position: Ms Gillard visited your home and asked whether you were interested in setting up an account along the lines of the Workplace Reform Association?
A. Sorry, can you ask me - I didn't hear. I'm a little bit hard of hearing. Sorry, I didn't catch what you said right at the very start.

MR CLELLAND: Commissioner, again I hesitate to rise when counsel assisting is asking questions, but we don't find this in the witness's statement. I'm just not sure what the source of that piece of puttage is in a clearly leading form to the witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Puttage?
MR CLELLAND: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Does it have to have a source? Your point is this: if one puts something one implies one can call some evidence about it as distinct from an open-ended inquiry as to the existence or not of some fact.

MR CLELLAND: Yes. That's our only concern.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Stoljar, perhaps that concern could be taken into account.

MR STOLJAR: Yes. I think I put the question, "Is this the position", or I asked whether this had occurred.
Q. Did this occur, Ms Darveniza: did Ms Gillard in the early '90s visit your home at a time when you and your husband were there?
A. Yes, Ms Gillard - we were neighbours. We lived quite close together, yes.
Q. Did you have a discussion about, or did she put to you in one of those meetings the question as to whether you were interested in setting up an account with some sort of incorporated association? Did you have a discussion about that?
A. I have no recollection of an incorporated association being set up.
Q. An account into which employers would make contributions?
A. No. No, not to my recollection, and I --
Q. That's your recollection as you sit here today?
A. I beg your pardon?
Q. And that is your recollection as you sit here today? A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Have you told others that you had a meeting at which that sort of thing was discussed in the past?
A. Not to my recollection, no.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. Yes, I'm pretty sure about that.
Q. Have you ever told anyone that you had a discussion at your home with your husband at which Ms Gillard asked you whether you were interested in setting up an account similar to the Workplace Reform Association?
A. No, I've never had a - I've never said that to anyone.
Q. After you became a member of the Legislative Council?
A. No. No, I have recollection --
Q. You deny that, do you?
A. I have no recollection of that, no.
Q. You don't remember or you deny it?
A. I beg your pardon?
Q. You don't remember or you deny it?
A. No, I don't believe I did, no.
Q. I note what you say in the last two paragraphs of your statement about having difficulties with your memory. Your memory has been affected, has it, in recent years?
A. Well, in my - in the --

THE COMMISSIONER: I think what she is saying is that she is not sure whether it has been affected by what is narrated in paragraph 18.
Q. Is that the position?
A. Yes, that's correct. I mean, I'm advised that it could be but I'm not sure that it has been. If it has, I'm not sure how much it has been, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the position is clear now.
MR STOLJAR: Thank you. Nothing further. Thank you, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Clelland?
MR CLELLAND: Briefly, Commissioner.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR CLELLAND:

MR CLELLAND: Q. Ms Darveniza, as you have recorded at paragraph 17 of the statement that has now been tendered in the Commission, you regard the memory at least of the events described in the statement as extremely vague?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Can I just ask you about a couple of paragraphs, please, particularly paragraphs 7 and 8. Do you have that statement in front of you, Ms Darveniza?
A. I beg your pardon?
Q. Do you have the statement in the witness box?
A. Yes, I do. Yes.
Q. There are some references in there to some discussions and some advice?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. A11 I want to ask you is whether you adhere to the statement that - I am sorry, I will withdraw that and ask it this way. Are you saying to the Commission that it was Ms Gillard who raised the question of the setting up of an account to raise funds?
A. This is the - this is the - for the branch voluntary the voluntary contributions to the branch re-election fund?
Q. Yes.
A. Look, to the best of my recollection, I think that we were given advice about that by Ms Gillard, but that's the best - that's the best of my recollection. This was - this was 25 years ago and it was - these were - these were issues - I was a newly elected State Secretary of a union and I have to say these were not first order issues that we were - that we were dealing with at all. They were, you know, more like 10th order issues that we were putting our minds to.
Q. I understand. A11 I wanted to put to you about that was that Ms Gillard does not recall her having raised that issue with you at that time and, in those circumstances, do you still adhere to what is set out in paragraph 8, if that was intended to convey the idea that it was Ms Gillard who had actually raised the issue or offered the advice?
A. Well, to the best of my recollection, I would say I would say, yes, but if you were to say to me, "No, look, that never happened", I couldn't really say that you wouldn't - that you wouldn't be right either.
Q. Thank you, Ms Darveniza. Can I now ask you to go to paragraph 9 of the statement.
A. Yes, 9. Yes.
Q. What I want to suggest to you about that is that that matter that you say you believe was discussed with Ms Gillard was not in fact discussed between you and her? A. I would have - again, it is the same proposition. I would have thought that these - that these matters would have been matters that I would have had - that Ms Gillard would have been involved in the discussions, and the reason that I say - the reason that my thinking is that she would have been involved in the discussions is that we would have been - I would have been concerned about any mechanism that was being set up, that it was being set up correctly and that it was being set up within the rules of the
organisation and that it was being done - that it was being done properly and that we had some, you know, proper legal advice and other advice from people who knew the rules and who would be able to give us that - give us that advice.
Q. I understand.
A. My best guess is, and I lot of this, you know, I have to say, whilst I'm doing my very best to accurately recall these events of 25 years ago, events that I've not really put my mind to or even thought about until, you know, the last week, my best guess is that these are the sorts of matters - these are the sorts of matters that were around at the time and that we would have been seeking some advice about them.
Q. Can I put it to you this way: is the intended effect of that paragraph really that you think or believe that that's the topic or the kind of topic that would have been discussed with Ms Gillard at about that time?
A. Yes. And again can I --
Q. Can I take you --
A. Can I say?
Q. Yes.
A. This was something that we, you know, we were - we were concerned about, you know, setting up - we were concerned, rather, about the staff assistance program going that I mention in paragraph 10 and about, you know, having some vehicle, you know, for that staff assistance program that might, that might go and that there be some provision for providing for the welfare, but again, it wasn't something that we - that we - again, it wasn't a first-order, it wasn't a first-order issue and again nothing ever - nothing ever came of it. None of these things were ever implemented. We had thought about it, we discussed it, but nothing ever - we never set anything up.
Q. Just to follow on with this issue of what you think was probably or might have been discussed, can I direct your attention to paragraph 14 of the statement, please. A. Yes.
Q. By the way, is this a statement prepared for you by the Commission?
A. No, no, no, this was one that I prepared for myself.
Q. You drafted it yourself?
A. My husband assisted me with it and he typed it up for me.
Q. Was that on or about 8 September 2014?
A. It was the day before yesterday. The day before yesterday, yes, is that right? Yes.
Q. I'm sorry, I interrupted you. If I could just direct your attention to paragraph 14. Again, I'm instructed that Ms Gillard disputes that she raised with you or discussed with you the issue of a - sorry, paragraph 13, not 14, disputes the suggestion there that she raised with you or discussed with you the issue of a self insurance fund? A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. All right - for the subsidisation of funeral expenses. Do you see that there?
A. Yes, I do. I do, yes.
Q. What I'm suggesting to you is that you did not have that discussion with Ms Gillard?
A. Yes. Yes. Again, it was one of those - one of the matters that we were dealing with at the time and, again, I would have thought that we would have - you know, we would have got advice about it and, again, my best guess is that we would have had a chat to her about it, but, again, it's just my best - it's my best guess.
Q. Likewise, paragraph 14, is that another example of where you've tried to, as you say, reconstruct things that happened 25 years ago, and that's a recollection of what you think probably or might have happened?
A. Yes, and again, this one was probably given the least - to my mind - to my mind - to my recollection, this one was - this one was - the previous one, the funeral one, I think we gave, you know, a bit more consideration to and maybe even thought about, you know, making it part of that health and welfare fund. This one, number 14, the general political fundraising one, I think that was - that was thought about and discussed briefly and discounted.

MR CLELLAND: Thank you, Ms Darveniza. Commissioner, those are the questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Clelland. Anything further, Mr Stoljar?

MR STOLJAR: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Darveniza, you are excused from further attendance on on summons that brought you here. Thank you very much for coming up.

THE WITNESS: Thank you
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, the next witness is Ms Gillard.
MR CLELLAND: Just before Ms Gillard is called,
Commissioner, I wonder if we might ask the Commission's indulgence just for five minutes.

THE COMMISSIONER: A short adjournment?
MR CLELLAND: If we could.
THE COMMISSIONER: Five, or do you want to tell me when you are ready?

MR CLELLAND: If we could do it the latter way, we would be grateful.

THE COMMISSIONER: We you just tell my tipstaff. We will adjourn for a short time.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Stoljar?
MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, the next witness is Ms Gillard.
<JULIA EILEEN GILLARD, affirmed:
[10.32am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR STOLJAR:
MR STOLJAR: Q. Your name is Julia Eileen Gillard?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. You are a resident of South Australia?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you tell the Commission your current occupation?
A. There's a list. I am of course a former Prime Minister and do a number of things associated with that. I am an author. I am the Chair of the Global Partnership For Education. I am a non-resident Distinguished Senior Fellow at the Brookings Institution in Washington. I am an Honorary Professor at the University of Adelaide.
Q. You have prepared a number of witness statements?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Do you have copies of those with you?
A. I have with me my principal statement and its annexure.
Q. There were also some short statements you prepared dealing with evidence given by Mr James, Mr Spyridis and Mr Hem. I will provide you with those.
A. Thank you.
Q. Ms Gillard, did you need to make any correction to your longer statement? I think you called it your principal statement.

MR CLELLAND: Commissioner, can I assist with this rather than Ms Gillard having to go through the document at the moment? We just picked up a typographical error at paragraph 26. This is of the principal statement, Commissioner

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I have that.
MR CLELLAND: Thank you, Commissioner. If you go to the last line the words "from the bank and my salary" the "and" should be an "or".

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR CLELLAND: That's the change.
MR STOLJAR: Q. Save for the correction that Mr Clelland has pointed out, the content of your four statements is true and correct?
A. Yes, they are.

MR STOLJAR: I would ask that those statements be received into evidence, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Those four statements will be received into evidence.

## \#FOUR WITNESS STATEMENTS OF JULIA EILEEN GILLARD

MR STOLJAR: Q. Ms Gillard, by way of background, you obtained your law degree in 1986?
A. Yes I did.
Q. You were at some point thereafter admitted as a solicitor to the Supreme Court of Victoria?
A. Yes, I was in 1987.
Q. In that year you commenced working as a first year solicitor with Slater \& Gordon?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You practised in the industrial area?
A. Initially I practised in the commercial section and in the industrial section and quite quickly that moved to being full-time in the industrial section.
Q. You reported to Mr Murphy?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Three years later, in November 1990, you were made a partner in Slater \& Gordon?
A. That's right.
Q. A salaried partner?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. You kept up a close professional relationship with Mr Murphy?
A. Mr Murphy was the equity partner in the industrial unit, so, yes.
Q. You had the next door office?
A. That's correct.
Q. You became friends?
A. Yes.
Q. Not long after you were made a partner at Slater \& Gordon, you or the firm received instructions to act for the Australian Workers Union?
A. In either 1989 or 1990 the firm commenced to work for
the Victorian Branch of the Australian Workers Union.
Q. I see. So you may not have become a partner at that point?
A. I'd have to track the dates, Mr Stoljar, but in or around the same time.
Q. Who was the person doing most of the work for the AWU? Was it yourself or Mr Murphy?
A. It was Mr Murphy who was the principal contact with the Victorian Branch.
Q. And thereafter the AWU was an important client for the firm?
A. It was one of a wide range of clients.
Q. The client was the AWU, not any particular individual within the AWU?
A. The client was the AWU Victorian Branch at that point.
Q. You did work for the AWU yourself?
A. I did some work, but at that point the Victorian Branch was principally Mr Murphy's client for industrial matters. Obviously they would also refer personal injury work to the firm that would be done by a range of solicitors in the plaintiff personal injury practice.
Q. On becoming a salaried partner, your pay arrangements were changed?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Your salary was nominally increased, but the increase was effected through a loan advanced to you by the firm? A. Yes, that's right.
Q. In substance, the loan comprised a total amount of \$40,000?
A. Yes.
Q. Which was paid in two tranches: one in February 1991 and one in June 1991?
A. I didn't independently recall all those details. I did recall the firm made me a loan for the purchase of the house, but, Mr Stoljar, I've had the opportunity to look at the relevant documents and what you say is right.
Q. Yes. I think there's something in your statement to
that effect?
A. Yes .
Q. That enabled you to acquire a property at 36 St Philip Street, Abbotsford?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. I am sorry to delve into the financial details, but you borrowed $\$ 118,000$ from CBA to acquire that property, does that sound right?
A. It sounds right.
Q. In addition there was some stamp duty and you needed also the advance from Slater \& Gordon to facilitate that purchase?
A. So S1ater \& Gordon assisted with the $\$ 40,000$ and then I borrowed the balance from the Commonwealth Bank.
Q. You, in substance, borrowed 100 per cent of the proceeds necessary to acquire the Abbotsford property?
A. I think there may have been some of my savings in there, but the overwhelming bulk of it was the Slater \& Gordon moneys or the, you know, moneys by way of mortgage from the Commonwealth Bank.
Q. You were living there from about June 1991, you yourself?
A. Once it settled, yes.
Q. You met Mr Wilson for the first time in that same year?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. April 1991. He was the Secretary of the WA Branch of the AWU?
A. Not at that point, Mr Stoljar, no.
Q. Can I show you a folder of documents. It's been marked "AWU WRA Gi11ard MFI-1".

MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, do you have a copy of that folder?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I do.
MR STOLJAR: First of all, I would ask that that folder be received into evidence, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Any objection? Anyone who has not had a chance to read it will have their rights to object in due course preserved.

MR CLELLAND: We will reserve our position.
THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.
DR HANSCOMBE: Likewise, Commissioner. We didn't know the existence of this folder.

THE COMMISSIONER: It will be received into evidence.
\#FOLDER OF DOCUMENTS MARKED "AWU WRA GILLARD MFI-1"
MR STOLJAR: Commissioner, do you have a copy of that folder?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I do.
MR STOLJAR: Q. Could I take you to tab 3, page 131 in the bottom right-hand corner. That's the transcript of interview that you gave in September 1995 to two of the partners at Slater \& Gordon. If you go to page 132 in the bottom right-hand corner, the transcript reads:

I met him in Apri7 1991.
You are dealing there with your encounters with Mr Wilson.
I met him in Apri1 1991.
And you say at the bottom of the page:
I was asked when I was over there ...
-- that's WA --
... to contact Bruce by Graeme Droppit who was then an employee solicitor of Slater \& Gordon . . .

He was from Western Australia. He had done legal work for Bruce when he had been in WA. So Mr Droppit had got to know Mr Wilson, had he?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. He introduced Mr Wilson to the firm as a client?
A. Mr Droppit worked in Western Australia. He was

Western Australian. He knew Bruce Wilson. He asked me to meet with Bruce Wilson whilst I was in Western Australia on other legal business.
Q. Coming down the page, this is page 133, you say:

He was at that point basically stalking the then WA secretary with a view to getting him out and taking his position, and he needed some legal advice about arrangements to do with that.

What did you mean he was stalking the then Secretary?
A. At the time I met Mr Wilson, he had already been involved in the Australian Workers Union. He was seeking to become Secretary of the Western Australian branch of the AWU.
Q. He was seeking to remove Mr Keenan; is that right?
A. As I understood it, there was disputation within the branch including about the performance of the then Secretary.
Q. Do you recollect what the legal advice was that you gave to Mr Wilson at the time about those matters?
A. No, I don't recall.
Q. You say that you stayed on - I am just continuing to travel through page 133 - in Perth for the purpose of meeting with him and then you say you became involved in a personal relationship with him in, in effect, 1991 or late 1991. That's all accurately summarised as the course of events, I take it?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Then you mention further down the page.
... when Bruce came to Victoria ... he came alone ...

This again is on 133:
He was subsequently joined by an organiser
from Western Australia ... known as either Bill Telikostoglou or simply Bill the Greek.

Do you recollect what his role was at the AWU, that's Mr Telikostoglou, when he came to Victoria?
A. He was an organiser, I believe.
Q. Did he report to Mr Wilson?
A. Mr Wilson was Acting Secretary and then subsequently became Secretary of the Victorian Branch of the AWU. So
all organisers would have reported to him.
Q. Mr Telikostoglou has been described in some documents as Mr Wilson's minder. Is that a fair description?
A. I wouldn't use those words, no.
Q. He carried out a wide variety of work at the direction of Mr Wilson?
A. I don't have a particular view about what work he carried out at the direction of Mr Wilson. I understood him to be an organiser.
Q. When did you first hear of the Workplace Reform Association?
A. As is detailed in my statement, in 1992, I received instructions from Mr Wilson about providing legal advice on the incorporation of an association.
Q. When you say in your statement, you mean witness statement 4 that has now become part of the evidence in this Commission?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. You are not referring to your exit interview with Slater \& Gordon?
A. I think the matter is dealt with in both. I presume by "exit interview" you are referring to the transcript of the meeting on 11 September 1995?
Q. Yes. I will just call it the interview. In any event, you say in paragraph 13 of your statement that you provided advice to Wilson and Blewitt in relation to the setting up of an incorporated association. That was some time prior to April 1992, was it?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr Wilson about that when he was stalking the WA Secretary?
A. No.
Q. So that came up after he had moved to Melbourne?
A. It came up in 1992, not 1991.
Q. Mr Wilson raised it with you?
A. Mr Wilson raised with me wanting to have a fund in Western Australia that would support him and his team and their re-election in Western Australia and, you know, regularising arrangements amongst the team.
Q. Could you come to page 134 in the bottom right-hand corner. You are dealing with this sequence of events.

MR CLELLAND: What is the page of the document? We don't have the folder yet.

THE COMMISSIONER: Page 4 at the top.
MR CLELLAND: Thank you, Commissioner.
MR STOLJAR: Mr Gordon says:
Now, around about mid 1992 were you asked by Bruce or Ralph or anyone connected with the AWU to set up certain unincorporated associations to enable the union or factions within it to raise and control funds?

And you say "Yes". You give some more detail about that a bit further down the page. You say.
... I was asked by Bruce to form, I was asked by Bruce about the holding of election fund moneys. It's common practice, indeed every union has what it refers to as a re-election fund, slush fund, whatever, which is the funds... into which the leadership team puts money so that they can finance their next election campaign.

Is it the position that Mr Wilson wished to have an account of that kind?
A. The position is as detailed in my statement, my principal statement, that prior to April 1992 I was asked by Mr Wilson about the holding of election moneys for the support of him and his team in Western Australia when I provided advice on the incorporation of the Association.
Q. You say that's in your statement. Where do I find in your statement reference to his team in Western Australia? A. It's not detailed in the statement, but it was about him and the team of officials he would run with.
Q. When did he say that to you?
A. Well, in these conversations. Obviously when people run for union elections, they run with a team of supporters.
Q. Could we come back to page 134 of the interview, page 4 at the top if that's easier. It says halfway through the long paragraph:

Bruce wanted to have such an account. We have at Slater \& Gordon, we have incorporated associations for the purposes of holding, if you like, being the legal entity that holds such an account.

Had you been involved yourself in setting up incorporated associations of that kind for other unions?
A. I don't recall setting up any other incorporated associations for other unions, but my understanding at the time, as detailed in this discussion with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw, was that that had been done by the firm.
Q. You say you hadn't yourself set one up?
A. I don't recall doing so, no.
Q. Further down the page you say:

So, I advised Bruce that we had done that in the past for unions. We had
incorporated associations.
A. Yes.
Q. Does that jog your memory that Slater \& Gordon had set up incorporated associations for other unions in the past?
A. As detailed in this discussion with Mr Gordon and

Mr Shaw, it was certainly my view at the time that Slater \& Gordon had done such work. I understood your question to me to be do I recall specifically, personally, doing such work --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and I don't recall that.
Q. Do you know for which other union Slater \& Gordon had done that work?
A. Oh, I don't recall that now, all these years later.
Q. But you say at the bottom of 134 :

I was uncertain whether the laws in Western Australia were akin to the laws in Victoria about the incorporation of associations. I subsequently checked and my recollection is they had almost identical Act, and I was then instructed to incorporate an association and did so.

So you checked the WA Act?
A. Yes, I would have done so.
Q. And you were familiar already with the Victorian Act?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Can I take you to 137 in the bottom right-hand corner, that's page 7. Mr Gordon says:

All right, well, let's talk about the AWU Workplace Reform Association Account.

That account, as you have said, is an account which was the account belonging to an incorporated association by the same name which was incorporated by Slater \& Gordon on Wilson's instructions following your advice to him which you described earlier and you say, "That's right", and then the question is:

PG: And that happened in or about mid 1992?
JG: That's right.
You are talking here about a bank account; correct?
A. This document, as you would be familiar, Mr Stoljar,
records a discussion, quite a lengthy discussion, about the AWU Workplace Reform Association.
Q. Yes.
A. I think Mr Gordon might have been using the terminology "account" because we had been talking about election funds. I had no knowledge at that time, or knowledge at any time, about the banking arrangements of the Workplace Reform Association.
Q. Isn't he saying to you - he says:

That account, as you've said, is an account which was the account belonging to an incorporated association by the same name which was incorporated by Slater \& Gordon on Wilson's instructions ...

He's referring to an account quite separate from the Association; correct?
A. When you look across this discussion with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw, there's a discussion about the Workplace Reform Association; there's a discussion then about bank accounts at the Victorian Branch of the AWU. I think Mr Gordon used the term "account" following that discussion. I didn't know anything about the banking arrangements of the AWU Workplace Reform Association.
Q. You understood that the Association was setting up an account?
A. I understood that --
Q. At the time I mean.
A. Mr Stoljar, I understood that it was the desire of Mr Wilson and others involved in the Association to have an association, to be a team that would run together for union elections, and to have an account into which they would bank moneys that they had fund-raised for that purpose, yes.
Q. And the account to which Mr Gordon is making reference on page 137 is that very bank account, is it not?
A. He is obviously referring to the discussion before, yes. But if your question to me is - and I may be misunderstanding you, Mr Stoljar, and if I am, I apologise, but if your question to me is did I have any knowledge of particular accounts operated by the AWU Workplace Reform

Association, no, I did not.
Q. I am not asking if you knew the account number, but the fact is that you knew that it had set up an account and was operating an account under the same name as the Association?
A. I knew at the time that I provided legal advice on the incorporation that there was an intention to set up an account.
Q. You certain1y understood, as at 11 September, that the account had been set up because Mr Gordon makes reference to it and then you say, "That's right."
A. Mr Stoljar, I am not sure I can take it further for you than I have. This was a discussion where we talked about the Australian Workers Union Workplace Reform Association and in other sections of the discussion I make it perfectly clear that I had no knowledge of any of the banking arrangements of the Workplace Reform Association, or any knowledge of its accounts.
Q. When do you say you became aware that in fact an account had been set up by the Association?
A. I did not become aware that an account had been set up by the Association.
Q. You know, as you sit here today, that one was set up, I take it?
A. In time sequence, sorry, because you have just jumped from this discussion in 1995 to the present day, in time sequence I provided legal advice - as has been discussed here and is in my principal statement, I provided legal advice. I then had no further contact with the work of the Association or its banking arrangements. Obviously, given the extensive publicity and inquiry into these matters since, I have become aware in the years since that the Australian Workers Union Workplace Reform Association had an account.
Q. If you go back to 137, Mr Gordon --

MR CLELLAND: Page?
THE COMMISSIONER: Page 7.
MR CLELLAND: Thank you.

MR STOLJAR: Q. Mr Gordon goes on to say that Slater \& Gordon had gone on to draft some model rules and the like, submitted those rules to the relevant Western Australian Government authority, there had been a letter back, it might be a trade union and therefore, ineligible for incorporation "and that we had prepared a response." He's asking you about dates and you say:

```
I wouldn't want to be held to the dates
without looking at the file, but whatever
dates the file shows are the right
dates ...
```

To which file were you referring?
A. I am referring to the file about the incorporation of the Workplace Reform Association.
Q. Did you open a file in relation to that matter?
A. I had a file, a manila folder with documents in it, which would have been labelled "Australian Workers Union Workplace Reform Association", or something like that which would have been held in the filing cabinets that were on the ground floor at Slater \& Gordon where the industrial unit worked.
Q. So you collated the documents in a file and put the file in the filing cabinet but didn't open the file on the system; is that the position?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Were there any other files in the filing cabinet that weren't open on the system?
A. Yes.
Q. You accept that if substantive work is done in relation to a matter, it would be appropriate to open a file on the system?
A. To just explain the context here, in the days $I$ worked at Slater \& Gordon, which obviously is a very long time ago, it was a much smaller firm than it is now. Its business model at the time was that it was heavily reliant on plaintiff personal injury work. The laws of plaintiff personal injury work were quite different than they are today. The purpose of the industrial unit was to do industrial work for trade union clients and for employees, and obviously to earn fees in doing so, but it was a part of the purpose of having the industrial unit that Slater \&

Gordon would form bonds with trade unions so that trade unions would refer their plaintiff personal injury work. As a result, it was common to do work without fees for trade unions and trade union officials.
Q. It may have been common to do some work but where you are engaging in substantive work of the kind that Mr Gordon describes on the page $I$ just took you to, a file ought to have been opened; correct?
A. It was always a judgment call about whether or not one would open a file for work that you were not going to charge a fee. There were various arrangements for doing that. You would keep file notes and they may be put on your personal file, so $I$ had a file in the filing cabinet called JEG General - "JEG" being my initials - for trade union clients. We had files in the filing cabinet that we would collect file notes on telephone advice and minor matters. You might have the "Municipal Employees Union General File". Then if you performed work where you put it in a folder, you would make a judgment call about whether or not that should be opened as a file on the system. A clear dividing line was the dimensions of the work and most certainly whether or not any disbursements were raised.
Q. This was a substantial job, you accept that?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You don't accept that?
A. No. This would have been a job that took me in total - oh, I don't recall specifically, but I would have said in the order of three, four, five hours work at most.
Q. It was more substantial than just bits of free work or telephone advices and that sort of thing?
A. Mr Stoljar, I can assure you I did more substantial jobs than this for free for trade unions during my days at Slater \& Gordon.
Q. And it really ought to have been opened on the system, do you accept that?
A. When I was discussing this matter with Mr Shaw and Mr Gordon in 1995, I indicated to them that with the benefit of hindsight maybe it would have been better to have opened it on the system, but I did do more substantial free work for trade union clients and trade union officials than this particular job.
Q. If you go to page 151, there's the passage of the transcript of the interview to which you may be making reference.

THE COMMISSIONER: Page 21.
MR CLELLAND: Commissioner, we have now been provided with the folder.

THE COMMISSIONER: Good.
MR STOLJAR: Q. About halfway through the page you say:
This was a more substantial job than that and really ought to have been opened on the system ...
A. Yes. I have just referred to that passage.
Q. Yes. Was there a Mr Lang working in the office at the time?
A. Yes. Mr Lang was employed at S1ater \& Gordon as a solicitor.
Q. Did he have a particular expertise in incorporated associations?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. The point, in any event, was that Mr Wilson was the client so far as you were concerned?
A. That's correct.
Q. You say in your statement, in the passage to which you have made --
A. Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt.
Q. All right. The person who was predominantly in discussions with you was Mr Wilson?
A. Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt. Obviously Mr Blewitt was playing a role in this incorporation. He is the signatory to the documents.
Q. My question was: the person predominantly communicating with you in relation to this matter was Mr Wilson; is that right?
A. I had communications with both Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt. I would have had more communications with

Mr Wilson, that's correct.
Q. You accept that Mr Wilson was the person who was predominately communicating with you in relation to this matter?
A. I was communicating with both of them but I was more regularly in contact with Mr Wilson, that's true
Q. Can I take you back to page 4 of the interview, that is page 134 in the bottom right-hand corner. You are discussing there again - I took you to this before - the incorporation of the Association and you say there or elsewhere that you had set up an incorporated association or at least you had a set of rules for the socialist forum? A. Yes, I do say that. I am a little bit lost in the document, Mr Stoljar. You're on page 4 at?
Q. Yes. Just a moment. Yes. Page 137 in the bottom right-hand corner. You were asked if Mr Lang had anything to do with the model rules?
A. Okay. So over on page 137, yes, I am with you now.
Q. You respond.
$\ldots$ I had just in my own personal precedent
file a set of rules for Socialist Forum
which is an incorporated association in
which I am personally involved. Tony Lang
and I drew those rules some years ago....
and I've just kept them hanging around as
something I cut and paste...

Then you say.

> ... I don't quite recall how now but I obtained the model rules under the wA Act and I must have done the drafting just relying on those two sources. I don't have any recollection of sitting down with Tony or any other practitioner and talking through the draft of the rules.

So that is how you came to craft the rules for the Association, is it, drawing on the Socialist Forum rules and what you would call the model rules from the WA Act? A. So I would have obtained, as I said in this statement at the time, the model rules. I had the Socialist Forum
precedent. I think, Mr Stoljar, I am right in saying you fill find a reference to another association here, I am thinking of Emily's List, but I had been involved in other incorporated associations since I had a couple of precedents in the model rules.
Q. I see. So these were not incorporated associations which you had been involved in setting up, but you in fact had some involvement in the operation of those associations; is that right?
A. I had been involved with Mr Lang in personal capacities in the setting up of the Socialist Forum which was an incorporated association. I was also involved with Mr Lang in the setting up of the incorporated association which is Emily's List, which is a Labor women's organisation.
Q. Was there a written retainer between Slater \& Gordon, on the one hand, and Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt, on the other, in relation to this work?
A. No, I don't believe there was.
Q. You say in your statement - and go to it if you need to refresh your memory - at paragraph 13 that you provided advice in relation to the setting up of an association.
A. Yes, I have that.
Q. In the second sentence you say:

I was subsequently instructed by them...
That is Mr Wilson and Blewitt:
... to incorporate an association.
The first step is that you provided advice in relation to setting up an association. When did you provide that advice?
A. I don't recall a specific date, Mr Stoljar.
Q. Were you in Perth or Sydney, do you remember that?
A. I most certainly would not have been in Sydney.
Q. I am sorry, in Perth or Melbourne?
A. I don't recall. I think it is more likely to have been Melbourne.
Q. Do you recollect who else was present or who was present? Was it the three of you?
A. I don't have a specific recollection of discussions and meetings. I have a general recollection of receiving instructions from Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt.
Q. Was Mr Murphy present at any of those discussions, do you recall?
A. No, he was not.
Q. Was there a meeting in Melbourne attended by all four of you?
A. No, there was not.
Q. Was there a meeting in Melbourne attended by yourself, Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt at which this matter was discussed?
A. I think it is most likely that there was a discussion in Melbourne, but I couldn't, you know, 100 per cent say it wasn't it Perth, but I think it is more likely it was in Melbourne.
Q. You say separately, or at least in the second sentence:

I was subsequently instructed by them to incorporate an association.

Do you recollect when you received those subsequent instructions?
A. I don't recollect a date, no.
Q. Was it shortly after you had that initial meeting?
A. I couldn't tell you, Mr Stoljar, the amount of time in between the two.
Q. As far as you were concerned, you were providing Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt with all such advice in respect of setting up an association as a reasonably competent legal practitioner exercising due care and skill would regard as appropriate?
A. I was trying to do my best, Mr Stoljar, yes.
Q. So you agree with me that that was what you were endeavouring to do when you gave them advice?
A. I was obviously trying to give them the benefit of my professional expertise, yes.
Q. Could you have a look at tab 2 of the folder.
A. Tab 2?
Q. Yes. Page 5. In the bottom right-hand corner is the advertisement.
A. Yes.
Q. You make some reference to this in your statement. One aspect of setting up an incorporated association is that there be public notification of that incorporation by way of advertisement?
A. Yes, that must be the case.
Q. You were aware of that in 1992 when you were providing advice to Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt?
A. If I checked the Act and it is a requirement of the Act, then, yes, I would have been aware of it.
Q. You did check the WA Act, didn't you?
A. Yes, yes, I am agreeing with you. If I checked the Act and if it is in the Act, then I would have been aware of it. I do not have a direct recollection of it, Mr Stoljar, but from the documents it is apparent there was a requirement for an advertisement.
Q. I have included a copy of the Act in the folder. I'11 take you to it. It's tab 8.
A. Tab?
Q. Tab 8 .
A. Tab 8?
Q. Yes. Section 6, which is on page 222 in the bottom right-hand corner, provides that:
(1) An applicant for incorporation must cause an advertisement in the prescribed form to be published once in a newspaper circulating in the area where the association is situated or conducts its affairs;
(2) The advertisement required by subsection (1) must be published not less than one month nor more than 3 months before the application for incorporation is

You would have been familiar with that requirement when you were advising Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt, I take it?
A. I would have checked the Act and read it and understood the sense of it, yes.
Q. And the timing is quite specific: not less than one month, not more than three months before the application is lodged with the Commissioner?
A. Yes .
Q. It has to be done in advance, in other words?
A. Yes.
Q. That provision enables any person who wishes to object to the incorporation of the Act to do so, or at least facilitates that objection being taken?
A. Yes, I presume so.
Q. I take it that you advised Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt in relation to the requirement of running that advertisement?
A. I don't recall providing that advice, no.
Q. In all likelihood you did?
A. Look, I don't recall it, Mr Stoljar. Clearly from the documents you can see that an advertisement was placed in the newspaper.
Q. In all likelihood, you gave advice in relation to the necessity to run that advertisement in a particular form and at a particular time?
A. Well, all I can say, Mr Stoljar, is I do not recall that. It is a long time ago.
Q. No. But my question was: in all likelihood in the ordinary course of your practice, you gave that advice; correct?
A. Look, I am not in a position to help you. I don't recall it. I can see from the documents that an advertisement was published. To the best of my recollection, I don't believe I drafted that advertisement.
Q. Mr Wilson didn't engage any other solicitor, did he?
A. No, but it is possible that Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt drafted that advertisement.
Q. Just looking at the words, it is a little hard to read, but it says:

Notice is hereby given that Ralph Blewitt ... [of a particular address] ... being duly authorised by the above association, intends to apply to the Commissioner of Corporate Affairs on or after 9 Apri 1992 for the incorporation of the Australian Workers Union - Workplace Reform Association.

That language has a legal flavour, you agree?
A. Oh, Mr Stoljar, I don't know what you are asking me to say. Could someone without a legal degree draft that language? Well, of course they could.
Q. The likelihood is that you advised Mr Wilson of the necessity of placing the public advertisement?
A. Mr Stoljar, I am giving evidence in a Royal

Commission. I am not prepared to guess.
Q. In the course of advising, it is likely that you gave advice as to the content of the advertisement; correct? A. Mr Stoljar, I don't recall giving any advice about the advertisement.
Q. The advertisement says that the association is formed for promoting and encouraging workplace reform for workers performing construction and maintenance work. That is my reading of it. Was that the true purpose of the Association, as you understood it, back then?
A. Sorry, I am just struggling to --
Q. I think it says promoting --
A. -- to read the bottom. Is that what you are saying the bottom paragraph of that advertisement says?
Q. I think it says, "The Association is formed for the purpose of" - I think it is "promoting and encouraging workplace reform for workers performing construction and maintenance work."
A. Mr Stoljar, I don't recall drafting this advertisement. I don't believe I did draft this advertisement, so I am not in a position really to help you with the meaning of the advertisement.
Q. You understood, setting aside the advertisement, that the purpose of the Association was to raise money for the re-election campaigns of Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt?
A. My understanding of the purpose of the Association was that it would formalise arrangements between a team of officials who had an intention of running together at the next election. It would enable them to fund-raise to support that re-election campaign.
Q. You say would formalise the relations between a team of officials, is that what you say?
A. Yes, Mr Stoljar. In my discussions with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw back in September 1995, I explained my view of this Association, these kinds of associations, saying that it means that people who are working together in trade union elections turn their mind to questions like what would happen if the team divided, to avoid the problems associated with collective moneys perhaps ending up in an individual bank account.
Q. Yes. That is at 134. You make reference at about point 8 on the page.
... the problem developed that when the leadership team fractured ... you got into a very difficult dispute ...

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Stoljar, does Ms Gillard have that in front of her?

THE WITNESS: I do. You are back under tab?
MR STOLJAR: Q. Tab 3.
A. Back under tab 3. Yes. Yes. Page 134.
Q. You were making reference to passages of your
discussions with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw. I am directing your attention to the particular passage to which you were making reference.
A. Yes.
Q. You say.
... the problem developed that when the leadership team fractured, as relatively commonly happens, you got into a very difficult dispute ... so it was better to

> have an incorporated association, a legal entity into which people could participate as members, that was the holders of the account.

Is that what you meant when you said earlier that this would regularise the relations?
A. Yes.
Q. The operation of the Act is to facilitate the incorporation of associations; correct?
A. Yes .
Q. You understood that at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. The Act isn't something that regulates the creation of associations, does it?
A. I am not sure I understand the distinction you are making, Mr Stoljar.
Q. You were a lawyer in 1993, a successful lawyer; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You understood there could be associations which were not incorporated?
A. Oh, yes, I am with you now. Yes, Mr Stoljar, I did.
Q. For example, an association whose objects fall outside the Act for one reason or another?
A. Yes, Mr Stoljar. I understood that there were incorporated associations.
Q. Or one so small or informal, for example, that there was no need to incorporate it?
A. Yes, Mr Stoljar, I understood that.
Q. The Act facilitated, and still does facilitate, the incorporations of an association that has already been formed and, for whatever reason, the members or the officers of the association now seek its incorporation; correct?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. There are various criteria stipulated in the Act which are taken into account in determining whether the
application for incorporation is to be granted?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. If we just look briefly at some of those criteria, if I take you back to the Act itself --
A. That was tab 7?
Q. Tab 8.
A. Tab 8 .
Q. It begins on page 218. Why don't we begin with
section 4. It says:
Subject to this Act, an association is eligible to be incorporated under this Act if it has more than 5 members and is formed ...

And then there are a series of purposes described?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Similarly, we looked a moment ago at the requirements for advertising. Section 6:

```
An applicant for incorporation must cause
an advertisement in the prescribed form to
be published once in a newspaper
circulating in the area where the
association is situated or conducts its
affairs.
```

So the Act is contemplating an advertisement being placed by an already existent corporation; correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. I'm sorry, an association.
A. It says an "applicant for incorporation".
Q. Yes.
A. But your point is you could have an unincorporated association that chose to incorporate and that would be the applicant?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Similarly, the rules of the association needed to be
in a particular form. For example, section 5 provides:

> An application for the incorporation of an association must be made to the Commissioner in the prescribed manner and form ...

Then coming over to the next page:
Application for incorporation must be accompanied by -
(a) a copy of the rules of the association conforming to the requirements of this Act ...

And then likewise, section 9 requires that upon the application being made, the Commissioner forms an opinion that the association is eligible to be incorporated and the rules conform to the requirements of the Act. If criteria of that kind are met then the application for incorporation may be successful; correct?
A. Yes, Mr Stoljar.
Q. Upon incorporation, of course, there are great benefits to the members, most particularly limited liability?
A. There are benefits of incorporation, correct.
Q. Section 10, as you no doubt recollect, provides at subparagraph (c):

> All rights and liabilities ... exercisable against members or officers of the
> association .. become rights and
> liabilities of and exercisable against the incorporated association ...

It is a form of limited liability?
A. Yes, Mr Stoljar.
Q. You understood that at the time?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. It is very different from the incorporation of a company where the company springs into existence upon the registration of the company?
A. Intellectually, Mr Stoljar, I am agreeing with you. I
was not involved in the practice of incorporating companies when I was a lawyer.
Q. If you go back to the advertisement which is behind tab 2 on page 5 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the drafting of that is consistent with the analysis I was just taking you through, in the sense that it provides - and again it is not that easy to read, but if I read out what I apprehend to be the words:

Notice is hereby given that Ralph Blewitt
... [of a particular address] ... being duly authorised by the above association intends to apply to the Commissioner on or after ...

A date in April 1992 for the incorporation of that Association. What is being proposed is that at some date in the future Mr Blewitt, who was duly authorised, would submit an application for that particular Association to be incorporated; correct?
A. That's the meaning of those words, yes.
Q. At that point --
A. If they are as you are endeavouring to read them, yes.
Q. At that point there was no association; correct?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. I know you say --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Just at that point, there was no association to your knowledge, in that answer were you thinking of the Association as the pre-incorporation entity or the incorporated entity?
A. I'm --
Q. It is just a question of clarity. Do you follow my query?
A. Yes. Commissioner, my understanding of my instructions at the time was not that there was a pre-existing unincorporated association, but that there was a desire to form and create an association, and I gave advice on its incorporation.
Q. Which would then become incorporated?
A. Correct, yes.

MR STOLJAR: Q. But you agree with me that on your understanding at the time, as at March 1992 when this advertisement appeared, there was no unincorporated association?
A. My understanding at the time was that there was no unincorporated association, that's correct.

THE COMMISSIONER: Just on the advertisement, it may be a trivial matter, but section 5 spoke of the application being in the prescribed manner and form. Wouldn't it ordinarily be the case that the body that administers this Act probably has a standard form of advertisement which applicants can look at and tailor to suit their own requirements? In other words, it would say something like this: "Notice is hereby given that [blank], being duly authorised by the above association intends to apply to the Commissioner of Corporate Affairs on or after [blank] for the incorporation of [blank]. The [blank] is formed for the purpose of [blank]", and then the applicant just fills in the gaps, depending on the circumstances of the case.

MR STOLJAR: That may well be, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: It would explain the stilted sort of formalistic language.

MR STOLJAR: It would. Section 46 talks about a prescription of forms and it may be the regulations have been passed to that effect. We might see if we can turn those up.
Q. Just while we are still on the Act, there were also stipulations in the Act about the crafting of rules for the Association?
A. Yes, there are stipulations in the Act that you have here.
Q. Picking up what the Commissioner was just saying about the advertisement, there is a schedule to the Act which you will on page --

MR CLELLAND: Just while Mr Stoljar is locating that, I will then sit down, but I am sure it has not escaped Mr Stoljar's recollection, I think Mr Blewitt gave some
evidence about this matter on 12 May 2014, pages 16 and 17 of the transcript. He gave some evidence about who had drafted the advertisement, if that is of assistance to our learned friend. He nominates Mr Wilson.

MR STOLJAR: This witness is giving her recollection of events and I am not sure it assists.

MR CLELLAND: I just thought if Mr Stoljar was interested in finding out who had actually drafted it, there has been some evidence about it, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: He is interested in finding out who drafted it and he is trying to assemble as much evidence as he can and then survey it all and see what it has.

MR STOLJAR: I put on record that that interjection was quite inappropriate.
Q. Schedule 1 is at page 245.
A. 245?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Were these the model rules to which you made reference or was there some other document?
A. Mr Stoljar, it's a very long time ago, so I'll try and be as clear as I can given the effluxion of time. My recollection is that there was something else provided by the associations incorporation people, bureau, register, whatever it was, that assisted people to draft the actual rules that were model rules, rather than just the definition of what needed to be in the rules. It is a long time ago, but that's my broad recollection of this area of law, is that people were endeavouring to make it simple enough that people could incorporate associations without needing legal advice, so consistent with that I would have thought that there are more specific model rules available to assist people.
Q. In any event, Commissioner raised the question as to whether there were some prescribed form in relation to the advertisement and this was something in the Act that at least provided some guidance in relation to the crafting of rules. Did you look at schedule 1, do you remember, when you were drafting the rules yourself?
A. Mr Stoljar, I'm not in a position, all of these years later, to tell you which pages of a piece of legislation I went to. I received instructions. I obviously did sufficient work to ascertain the law in WA was in the same form as the law in Victoria and went from there.
Q. The rules themselves are at page 9 behind tab 2. A. Page 9 behind tab 2. Sorry, I went to tab 9.
Q. These are the rules that you put together in the way you describe in the record of interview. If we work through these, the objects of the Association are those set out in paragraph 3. In truth, the object of the Association was to raise funds for elections; correct?
A. The object of the Association was, or the purpose of the Association was as I described it earlier, to regularise arrangements between a team of officials who were intending to run in union elections and to enable them to fundraise to support their campaigning.
Q. The reason the Association was being set up was to facilitate fundraising by those officials and in particular, to facilitate the creation of an account into which funds so raised could be collected; correct? A. That's correct, with the addition of the discussion we had earlier and when we went to a section of my discussion with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw, that it also meant people turned their mind to questions like what would happen if there was a division between the team of officials who had been contributors to the election fund.
Q. None of those matters are set out in subsection (1) of paragraph 3 of the rules, are they?
A. These objects are very broadly drawn, that's true.
Q. It is correct that none of the matters to which you've made reference are set out in paragraph 3; that's right? A. I think the matters in paragraph 3 are broadly drawn and what we've discussed fits beneath them.
Q. Into which subparagraph do you say, or which subparagraph do you say captures the matters that we've discussed?
A. Oh, for example, Mr Stoljar, I'd say subparagraph (f).
Q. Is (f) the only one?
A. Mr Stoljar, the objects are broadly drawn about
promotion of change in workplaces, the sort of things that a team of officials might well be promising in a campaign for election.
Q. Why wouldn't you say squarely what the object was: to raise funds for elections?
A. Because in the discussion we've just had, Mr Stoljar, I thought that there were other reasons for incorporating an association.
Q. That was one of them?
A. Yes, one of them --
Q. One of them --
A. -- in accordance with supporting a team of officials or a team - I'm using the terminology "officials" which assumes people are already elected. These - in terms of this Association, I was dealing with people who were already elected, but more broadly, for such associations it could be a reform group that's seeking election or a team of officials who were seeking re-election.
Q. Why not just say what the objects were in the paragraph dealing with objects? The object was, as I think we've been discussing, to raise funds for elections and to operate an account where those funds could be collected. Why not just say that?
A. Mr Stoljar, at the time I obviously thought it should be broadly drawn and go to the types of things that might be issues that officials came together to campaign on.
Q. It gives no clear understanding of what the objects of the Association in truth are, paragraph 3; that's right? A. Well, Mr Stoljar, I wouldn't agree with that and I'd remind you that my instructions about this matter, coming from Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt, were clear, that they were part of a team that had come together, that had taken over control of the Western Australian Branch. At some point in the future they would face an election. They obviously wanted their team to contend in that election and win in that election, so I drew the objects broadly trying to capture all matters that might be relevant to that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Stoljar, is this a convenient time? MR STOLJAR: Yes, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: The hearing will resume at 11.50 .
SHORT ADJOURNMENT
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Stoljar.
MR STOLJAR: Q. Ms Gillard, could you come, please, to page 12 in the bottom right-hand corner, behind tab 2.
A. Page 12. This is in the rules?
Q. Yes, it is.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. I asked you before the break something about the objects. I'm now asking you something about the matters set out on page 12 in the bottom right-hand corner, "Role and Composition of the Committee of Management".
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Rule 15 stipulates that:

The affairs of the Association are to be managed exclusively by a Committee of Management ...

Was there any committee of management of the Association that you were aware of when you were drafting the rules?
A. When I was drafting the rules I understood that in an incorporated association there had to be a committee of management.
Q. Who was the committee of management?
A. I didn't turn my mind to who would fill those positions. That was a matter for Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson.
Q. Was there a president of the Association when you were --
A. I played no role in the - I had no role, no connection with who would play what role within the Association. That was a matter for it and its members.
Q. Did you give advice about that matter?
A. I would have given - I would have explained that an incorporated association has members, that there's a minimum number of members, that you have to have a committee of management, and having explained those things, would have left it to Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson to work out
how they wanted this Association to work and who should play which role. That was a matter for them.
Q. Did you make an inquiry of them as to whether the officers in the committee of management had been filled? A. No, I did not.
Q. You have a definite recollection of not asking anything?
A. I provided the advice and assistance on the incorporation. Beyond that, what the Association then did, who were members of it, who served in which capacity, was a matter for them and I made no inquiry about it.
Q. Did you make any inquiry as to whether there had been any meetings of members or the like?
A. No.
Q. Did you make any inquiry as to whether there was a register of members as provided for in rule 13?
A. No, I didn't. I viewed it entirely as a matter for them. My role was to provide advice to assist the incorporation. I did not have some ongoing advisory role in relation to the workings of the Association.
Q. As I understood it, your role was not simply to assist with the incorporation; you were in addition giving advice about the setting up of the Association; is that right?
A. Setting it up in the sense of incorporating it, not setting it up in operation; that was a matter for them.
Q. Perhaps we should go to the application form. It is at page 7 .
A. Page 7 --
Q. Behind tab 2.
A. Behind tab 2.
Q. The handwriting - some of the handwriting on this page is your handwriting?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. For example, the black ink, "Australian Workers Union - Workplace Reform Association"?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. That's your handwriting. Likewise, in paragraph 2,
section 4 (1) (e) of the Act is your handwriting? A. Yes, it is.
Q. Starting at the top of the page, the words in blue ink in capitals, is that Mr Blewitt's handwriting?
A. I don't recall Mr Blewitt's handwriting, but given it's Mr Blewitt's name, I think it's a reasonable assumption.
Q. Was that filled in in your presence?
A. I don't have a recollection of sitting around filling
in these forms, so either it was filled in in my presence or I completed some parts of the forms and handed it over to Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson to complete.
Q. Do you recognise any of Mr Wilson's handwriting on this document?
A. I wouldn't recognise Mr Wilson's handwriting after all this time.
Q. Paragraph 2 states, "Development of changes to work to achieve safe workplaces". Did you suggest that wording to Mr Blewitt?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did Mr Wilson suggest it in your presence?
A. I don't recall that happening, no.
Q. Mr Blewitt is not the sort of person, in your memory of him, who would have come up with those words himself, is he?
A. I think it's possible; I don't see why it's impossible.
Q. Can we go back to paragraph 1. It reads:

I, Ralph Edwin Blewitt ... [an address]
... being duly authorised by the
Association, apply for incorporation of the
Association under the name -
And then you've written out, "Australian Workers Union Workplace Reform Association." Who came up with that name?
A. When I received instructions about this matter, as part of those instructions I was given the name. I don't have a recollection from the time, but having had the opportunity, Mr Stoljar, to look at the material provided
to the Commission, I think Mr Wilson says in his statement, doesn't he, that he came up with the name.
Q. I'm asking about your recollection, not anyone else's?
A. Yes. Well, 20 years on, or the best part of 20 years on, I don't recall. I believe it would have come to me in the instructions for putting the Association together.
Q. Instructions --
A. Incorporating the Association, to be more precise.
Q. Instructions emanating from Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt?
A. Correct.
Q. They were your client, were they, for the purposes of this matter?
A. Yes, that's true.
Q. They were giving you instructions in their own personal capacities?
A. Yes, that's true.
Q. You took instructions from them, or one of them, to the effect that the name of the Association would be "Australian Workers Union. Workplace Reform Association"?
A. That's my best recollection after all these years.
Q. Did you check to see whether the National Executive of the union had authorised the use of its name?
A. No, I did not.
Q. The union was a client of Slater \& Gordon?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. You accept --
A. Well, the Victorian Branch of the union was a client of Slater \& Gordon. It's not my recollection that we ever acted for the National Office.
Q. Was any reason proffered to you for the use of that name by Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt, that is to say, more particularly, the use of the name Australian Workers Union, incorporating those words into the name of the Association? A. I don't recall, you know, a conversation dealing with this matter. I would presume that it had "Australian Workers Union" in it because the team of officials, the Association, was to be involved in elections in the

Australian Workers Union.
Q. The point of the Association is it is not part of the union; isn't that right?
A. That's correct, in the sense that you --
Q. So that union moneys can be kept in the account operated by the Association - I'm sorry, non-union moneys
can be kept in the account operated by the Association?
MR CLELLAND: Might Ms Gillard be able to finish her answer, Commissioner?

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Is there something more you wanted to say to the penultimate question?
A. I think Mr Stoljar and I are on the same point, that it is not proper for union moneys to be used to finance union elections, so certainly my understanding of the Association was that it was not part of the union because if it had been part of the union, then it clearly couldn't play a role in union elections. I may have put that in a somewhat circular fashion but I trust I've conveyed the meaning.

MR STOLJAR: Q. Yes, but did it cause you concern, the use of the name of the union within the name of the Association, when those instructions were given to you?
A. I had no cause for concern at that time.
Q. It was misleading, wasn't it?
A. In what sense?
Q. In the sense that the Association is a part of or authorised by the Australian Workers Union?
A. There was nothing in any of this, back at the time, which caused me to conclude in any way that the name of the Association or anything else about the Association would be used to mislead people.
Q. I'm not suggesting that you knew at the time that the Association was going to be used to mislead people.
I wasn't putting that to you, Ms Gillard. I'm simply saying did you have some concern at the time that use of the words "Australian Workers Union" could be potentially misleading?
A. No, I didn't have that concern.
Q. For example, use of that name could facilitate, potentially, cheques being drawn in favour of the AWU being deposited into the Association's bank account?
A. I didn't turn my mind to that because I had absolutely no reason to do so, absolutely no reason to suspect that that would ever occur.
Q. Well, did you have reason to suspect that there could be just some general confusion between the Association and the union?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Do you accept now that it would have been, looking back, appropriate for you to go to the AWU and Slater \& Gordon's client and say, "Look, has someone given authorisation for the use of your name in respect to this Association?"
A. Oh, none of us get to go in a time machine and go backwards. Obviously, if one got to do the whole thing again you would do things differently, given what I know now that I did not know at the time.
Q. It would have been simple enough to say to Mr Blewitt or Mr Wilson, "Look, go and get a resolution from the National Executive of the union and come back to me when you can show that this has been authorised by the National Executive"?
A. Well, I didn't have cause to give that kind of advice. I received instructions on incorporating an association. I had the name, the full name, "Australian Workers Union. Workplace Reform Association", and I did not turn my mind to, I had no reason to turn my mind to, whether or not at any point that would mislead anyone or be confusing or any of those things.
Q. You're familiar with section 8 of the Act? If you go to 223 --
A. Section 8 of the Incorporation Act?
Q. Associations Incorporations Act?
A. So we're back under tab 8, section 8. Yes.
Q. Section 8 generally regulates the use of certain names, including in (d) where there's a proscription against the use of a name.
... identical with or likely to be confused
with the name of any other body corporate or any registered business name.

Did you give any consideration to that when you were receiving instructions about the name of the Association? A. After all these years, Mr Stoljar, I don't recall reading that section or turning my mind to that section, but clearly I was not of the view that this was a name that would confuse.
Q. If you just keep one hand on the Act and come back for a moment to the application, in paragraph 2 you've inserted the words "Section 4(1)(e) of the Act"?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. And that is a reference to section 4. 4(1)(e) refers to an association having more than five members and being formed at (e) for political purposes. If we go back to the application, that was your apprehension at the time, was it, that the Association was formed for political purposes? A. Given the descriptions in 4(1), that must at the time have seemed to me to be the best summary description.
Q. And then paragraph 3 stipulates that:

The Association is not formed for the
purpose of trading or securing a pecuniary
profit to the members from the transactions of the Association.

Did you give any advice to Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt about that paragraph?
A. I don't have a recollection of specifically giving advice about that paragraph, but given my instructions, that this Association was about a team of officials, trade union elections, fundraising from payroll deductions, you know, raffles and the like, there was no need to particularly focus on that. There was no suggestion in any of my instructions that the Association would be trading or seeking to secure a profit, in that commercial sense of "profit".
Q. 4 refers to the rules of the Association being attached. Those are the rules that you had drafted and we've gone to a moment ago. And then there's a date and a signature. Was it signed in your presence?
A. You're - sorry, Mr Stoljar, I've just got confused in
the documents. Where are you back to now? You're on --
Q. The application, page 7 in the bottom right-hand corner, behind tab 2.
A. The application. Okay, yes, back on the application, so where you've got, "The prescribed fee is tendered herewith."
Q. Yes. And then there's a date and a signature. It appears to be Mr Blewitt's signature?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he sign the document in your presence, or do you not remember?
A. I don't think so.
Q. When you say you don't think so, do you have a memory one way or the other?
A. I don't have a clear memory one way or the other but I don't think so.
Q. Paragraph 1, just going back to that for a minute, says that Mr Blewitt, being duly authorised by the Association, applies for incorporation. There was no Association in existence as at 22 Apri1 1992; is that correct?
A. There was no incorporated association, no.
Q. There was no association at a11, was there?
A. There was a - authorised by the people seeking to become this Association.
Q. My question was, as at 22 Apri1 1992 there was no association, was there?
A. No, there was a combination of people who wanted to incorporate an association, was my understanding.
Q. Well, you'd spoken to Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson about it, but there was no association, whether formal or otherwise, in existence as at 22 Apri1 1992; that's right, isn't it?
A. My understanding is that there was a group of people who were connected to each other in the sense that they had come in to the Western Australian Branch of the AWU as part of Mr Wilson's move into the Branch as part of his team and that this Association was for them to be members of; so I believe there was that kind of combination of people. If
you're asking me more specifically than that, that's what my belief was.
Q. You had seen no minutes of any association, for example?
A. No, I had not. No, I had not.
Q. You had not seen any minute of a management committee meeting?
A. No.
Q. As far as you know, there had been no resolution passed authorising Mr Blewitt to apply for incorporation?
A. No, and I would have viewed all of that as a matter for Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson, as I indicated to you before, before the short adjournment.
Q. Could you come to page 8, which is the certificate?
A. Page 8. Yes, I have it.
Q. Again, Mr Blewitt, it would appear, has filled out the first two lines and then it says that Mr Blewitt certifies.
... I am the person authorised to apply for
the incorporation of Association under the
name ...
And that's your writing again?
A. Yes, in the subparagraph, the (i), that's my writing.
Q. Yes. I meant to indicate the writing in blank ink, "Australian Workers Union - Workplace Reform Association"?
A. Yes, that's my writing.
Q. Does your writing appear anywhere else on this page?
A. No, it does not.
Q. Do you recognise the writing in subparagraph (iii)?
A. I don't recognise it, no.
Q. Was that advertisement date inserted when you completed the writing in subparagraph (i)?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you consider whether the Association had more than five members when you were looking at this document back in 1992?
A. I would have provided advice that it needed to have more than five members.
Q. You say you would have done?
A. Yes.
Q. You mean in the ordinary course of your practice you would have done that?
A. In explaining what an incorporated association is, I would have explained the minimum membership requirements.
Q. Do you have any particular recollection of doing that or do you simply say that would have been your ordinary practice?
A. That would have been my ordinary practice.
Q. You said you had no recollection of whether the words in subparagraph (iii) had been inserted when you completed subparagraph (i); was that correct?
A. That's correct. And it goes to the conversation we had before the short adjournment. I don't have a clear recollection of meetings, discussions about this matter. We're obviously in the position where we've got the documents and that can assist. Looking at these documents I'm not in a position to tell you whether I filled in sections of them and handed it across to Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson to then complete and go around and file and the rest, or whether we sat and did this together. I don't have a recollection of that.
Q. Do you have any recollection as to whether you were in Melbourne or Perth when that was done, or your work in relation to these documents was done?
A. Look, I didn't - the best I can answer you about that is I was not routinely in Perth. In my practice at Slater \& Gordon I did not routinely travel interstate. My life living on an aeroplane was at a later stage of my life. So whilst, yes, I went to Perth on a few occasions for legal work, I was not routinely in Perth.
Q. The documents were in due course lodged for incorporation at the Office of Commissioner for Corporate Affairs and indeed one can pick up the date on which that occurred by the date stamp on page 8. Did you have an involvement in the lodging of those documents?
A. No, none.
Q. Who did?
A. I presume Mr Blewitt.
Q. You had some further discussions with him over the next month or two about the lodgment, correct?
A. Do you mean in the sense of the inquiry about whether or not this was a trade union?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Did you discuss with him then the fact that he had lodged the documents?
A. Well, he must have lodged the documents. I don't recall a discussion about lodging the documents, but obviously the inquiry could only have been made by the Commissioner if the documents had been lodged.
Q. The lodgment, as we've seen, needed to be more than one month and less than three months after the advertisement. Did you give any advice about that matter, do you recollect?
A. I thought we had that discussion earlier. I don't recall giving any advice about the advertisement and I don't believe that I drafted it.
Q. I was really just asking you about the timing, but in any event that's your evidence. Did the Office of the Commissioner for Corporate Affairs then contact you?
A. Once again, my view about this is informed by documents. If I hadn't seen the documents I'm not sure I would have recalled these matters, but it is evident on the documents that some inquiry was made, I presume of Mr Blewitt, about the nature of the Association and whether or not it was a trade union and that was then referred to me.
Q. Do you recollect how it came to be referred to you?
A. No, I don't.
Q. I will come back to that in a minute, but I just want to ask you about an invoice which appears on page 18 ?
A. Of the same section?
Q. Yes. That was the first invoice issued by the Association to Thiess on 30 April. I'm sorry, the due date was 30 April 1992, so it was presumably issued at some
point leading up to that date. Did you have any discussion with Mr Wilson about the fact that the Association had issued an invoice?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr Blewitt about the fact that the Association had issued an invoice?
A. No.
Q. You were advising in relation to the incorporation of this Association and it had prior to its incorporation already started to issue invoices?
A. I didn't know anything about that.
Q. No-one raised that with you?
A. No-one raised it with me.
Q. The invoice makes reference to a PO box that was set up in Northbridge, WA. Did you have any discussion with Mr Wilson about that?
A. No.
Q. And then again, before we get to the dealings with the Commissioner for Corporate Affairs in WA, if you come to page 20, it is a little hard to read the numbering -A. Page 20?
Q. Yes. The Association on 4 May, describing itself as "AWU Workplace Reform Association Inc", applied for a bank account and the signatories on that application, as appears on page 21, were Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson, describing themselves, in the paragraph headed "Official Position", as Secretary of the Committee, it looks like, and Committee Treasurer. Did Mr Wilson have any discussion with you about that?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr Blewitt?
A. No.
Q. You wrote to the Office of State Corporate Affairs in WA on 13 May 1992. That letter has not been located but that would appear to be the case from the correspondence which is on page 23.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any recollection of the content of your
letter of 13 May 1992?
A. I don't have a recollection, Mr Stoljar. What I gleaned from these documents, having them in front of me now and obviously having them in front of me during the course of this Royal Commission, is that there must have been an inquiry about whether or not the Association was a trade union and I responded to that.
Q. You wrote arguing the case for incorporation; is that right?
A. If I'd received an inquiry about whether or not this was a trade union, I would have responded it was not a trade union. I presume the inquiry from the Office of State Corporate Affairs was, "Was this a trade union?", because that would have implications as to whether it should be incorporated as an association or its corporate personality would be governed by state industrial relations law.
Q. My question was that you wrote to the Commissioner for Corporate Affairs arguing the case for incorporation. Is that correct or --
A. I would have done what solicitors do, which is I would have referred to my client's instructions and would have explained my understanding of the law and my understanding of the law and my client's instructions were that this was not a trade union.
Q. I'll come back to this letter in a moment, but if

I take you back to the record of interview at page 137 --
A. Sorry, in the same document, though, aren't they?
Q. Yes, tab 3.
A. Tab 3. Yes.
Q. Mr Gordon says:

Slater \& Gordon ...
This is page 137, in the middle of the page, the paragraph beginning, "And last Monday". The third line.
... had submitted those rules to the relevant Western Australian government authority ...

Indeed, that passage suggests that Slater \& Gordon
submitted the rules to the relevant Western Australian government authority. Does that remind you that Slater \& Gordon had some role in lodging this --
A. No, Slater \& Gordon did not submit the rules to the incorporation body. Mr Gordon's misphrased that question.
Q. Mr Gordon goes on.
... there'd been a letter back from the authority suggesting that it might be a trade union and therefore ineligible for incorporation under that legislation ...

And then says.
... we had prepared a response submitted on
Wilson's instructions to that authority suggesting that in fact it wasn't a trade union and arguing the case for its incorporation.

And then you say:
I wouldn't want to be held to the dates ...
Et cetera. That accurately summarised, didn't it, what occurred? A response was submitted on Wilson's instructions suggesting it wasn't a trade union and arguing the case for its incorporation.
A. I think we're in agreement, aren't we, Mr Stoljar, that I did what a solicitor does; I put my client's instructions and put my view of the law.
Q. I understood that you were resisting the proposition that you'd argued the case for its incorporation?
A. I just think I've used a more accurate description.
Q. Can you come back to the letter on page 23 behind tab 2? That letter is addressed to you at Slater \& Gordon and says:

Thank you for your letter of 13 May 1992 ...

The explanation which you have provided in relation to the purposes of the association is accepted, however, it is believed that

> the matter does need to be clarified by the amendment of the association's rules to include new rule 3 .

Do you recollect what the explanation was that you had provided in relation to the purposes of the Association? A. As I explained earlier, I don't have a recollection from all those years ago, but having had the opportunity to look at these documents, what appears clear on the face of the documents is there was an inquiry about whether or not this was a trade union. I responded to it. The personnel, Mr Neal, the assistant director of the Office of State Corporate Affairs, then said that there should be an amendment to be known as new rule 3 A and then the document appearing on the next page of the folder details what that rule is and it is to clarify that the Association is not doing the kinds of things one would associate with a trade union.
Q. Had you crafted the new rule 3 A and included it in your letter of 13 May 1992?
A. I don't think so and I don't think that's the sense of this letter. I think the sense of this letter is that the State Corporate Affairs people are recommending that amendment.
Q. The letter on the next page is a memorandum from yourself to Mr Blewitt of 21 May 1992, so some time after this letter?
A. Mmm .
Q. Do you say that the Office of State Corporate Affairs included a version of what actually became rule 3 A in their letter of 15 May 1992?
A. I think we're both in the unhappy position that we don't have the letter of 13 May 1992 which may answer this question for us. My reading of the letter of 15 May is it may well be that the Office of State Corporate Affairs suggested that draft as clarification, but whichever way, the purpose of the clarification is to exclude the possibility that the Association is undertaking work normally associated with trade unions.
Q. The letter of 15 May continues:

In order to avoid any unnecessary costs and delays ... the Commission is prepared to

> incorporate the association with the existing rules annexed to Mr Blewitt's application subject to receiving a written undertaking that the association will amend its rules to include new rule 3 A within 30 days of being notified of incorporation.
A. Yes.
Q. You then sent the memo to Mr Blewitt, to which you've already made reference, and it is at page 24.
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. You drafted a letter that he would be able to send to the Office of State Corporate Affairs?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You were recommending or at least you were proposing to him to write, saying on behalf of the Association:
... I undertake that the Association will amend its Rules within thirty days of being notified ... to include a new Rule $3 A .$.

And you say that that was intended to clarify how the objects of the Association would operate, or at least to clarify that it would not be operating as a trade union. You say that was your understanding of 3A?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Rule 3A precludes the Association, in effect, regulating relations between workmen and employers; correct?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. One of the things that an employer does is provide a safe system of work; correct?
A. Yes, they ought to.
Q. How was this rule going to operate with the existing rules?
A. Consistent with what I've said, that my view, looking at these documents, is that the inquiry was about whether or not this Association would seek to do the kinds of things associated with being a trade union. Looking at this new rule 3A, that the Association aims to seek to regulate the relations between workmen and employers, that
that's a formulation about, you know, seeking award regulation, or it may well have been in the days beyond the common approach to enterprise bargaining, but, you know, doing the kinds of things that trade unions do, either under State or Federal law, that is, that they participate in the regulatory system, the regulatory system of employment, things like serving a log of claims in order to create an award.
Q. Why not just write back and say, "We11, it's not going to be a trade union because it's going to raise money for elections"?
A. Mr Stoljar, sitting here, I don't know, but can I suggest to you that one thing that may be worth looking at is whether or not this is a form of words somehow associated with the state industrial relations legislation at that time. I think it's that clarification that was being sought. Should this be an association or a state registered trade union? Those words looked to me like they may have come from state regulation of some nature. Now, I don't have a direct recollection of it, but that is what, piecing together from these documents, I think I was dealing with at the time.
Q. But if the concern that had been raised with you was that the Association could be confused for a trade union, isn't the simple answer, it's set up outside the union and it's going to raise funds for election purposes and operate a bank account to hold those funds?
A. Well, in the letter of 15 May what's being asked for by the corporate affairs people is the inclusion of a new rule.
Q. That's your construction. The alternative construction is that you had proposed the new rule 3 A in your correspondence of 13 May?
A. And I'm not in a position to assist you with that further and I don't think you're in a position to assist me when neither of us have the letter of 13 May.
Q. Did you give any advice to Mr Blewitt as to how the rule change would be effected?
A. The advice I gave to Mr Blewitt is the advice you see before you on page 24.
Q. Effecting a change to the rules would require some sort of resolution, presumably a special resolution; is
that right?
A. This is a pre-incorporation amendment.
Q. No. What do you mean by that?
A. Just paragraph 3 of Mr Neal's letter.
... the Commission is prepared to incorporate the association with the existing rules ...
Q. Quite so.
A. "... subject to receiving a written undertaking ..."
Q. Mr Neal is proceeding on the assumption that there was in fact an association and that what the Commission was going to do was incorporate it; correct?
A. The letter says:

Thank you for your letter of 13 May 1992 concerning the application by Mr $R$ E Blewitt to incorporate the above association.
Q. Quite so.
A. So --
Q. In the passage to which you drew my attention, the third paragraph, the Office of State Corporate Affairs is saying:
... the Commission is prepared to
incorporate the association with the
existing rules annexed ... subject to
receiving a written undertaking that the
association will amend its rules to include
a new rule 3 A...
The point being that Mr Neal is proceeding on the basis that there was in fact an association in existence and what the Commission was going to be doing was to incorporate it under the Act; correct?
A. Mr Stoljar, my reading of those words - and neither of us is Mr Neal - my reading of those words is the Commission is prepared to incorporate the association; that is, the association for which incorporation is being sought --
Q. Yes. And there was no such - I'm sorry?
A. -- with this change.
Q. But there was no such association at that time, was there?
A. No, there was an application to incorporate an association and I believe it's that association for which incorporation is being sought that Mr Neal is referring to.
Q. What Mr Neal was contemplating and more particularly you were describing in your memorandum to Mr Blewitt of 21 May 1992, was an amendment to the rules taking place within 30 days of the Association being notified of its incorporation.
A. What's the question?
Q. I think I'm really picking up your comment earlier about whether or not this was a pre-incorporation resolution and I'm agreeing with you to this extent, that your memorandum to Mr Blewitt of 21 May 1992 makes reference to a resolution that would need to be passed 30 days subsequent to the incorporation of the Association; is that right?
A. Yes, that's right. You're now referring to the last line of the third paragraph of Mr Neal's letter; is that right?
Q. No, I've come to your memo of 21 May on the next page.
A. Oh, you've come to my memo. Sorry, yes. Oh, I see.

Okay. Sorry, I was on the wrong document.
Q. You were contemplating that Mr Blewitt would write giving an undertaking that the Association would amend its rules, within 30 days of being notified of its incorporation, to include a new rule $3 A$ ?
A. Mmm .
Q. My question was did you give advice to Mr Blewitt as to how the amendment would be effected?
A. I don't recall giving advice to Mr Blewitt about how the amendment would be effected, but at this point Mr Blewitt was obviously in possession of the rules.
Q. You were causing, in effect, Mr Blewitt to write giving a personal undertaking to the Commissioner, the WA Commissioner of Corporate Affairs?
A. I think "causing" is not the right word.
Q. Well, you crafted a letter for him to that effect?
A. My understanding from my instructions was that

Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson wanted to incorporate this Association. The clear meaning of the letter from Mr Neal is that there is an issue in relation to that incorporation. I then provided advice to Mr Blewitt about the resolution of that issue. Whether or not he accepted that advice or acted on it is entirely a matter for him.
Q. Do you recollect whether the concern on the part of the Office of State Corporate Affairs also related to the fact that the words "Australian Workers Union" were included in the name of the Association?
A. No, I don't believe so and on the face of this correspondence that doesn't show, does it. You would anticipate, wouldn't you, Mr Stoljar, that if there was more than one concern about incorporation, that it would be separately referred to in the letter of 15 May 1992.
Q. Did you make an inquiry of Mr Blewitt - or Mr Wilson, for that matter - as to whether he had in fact caused the amendment to the rule to be effected?
A. No. Having provided the advice, I wouldn't have.
Q. No, I'm simply asking whether you made any inquiry, subsequent to incorporation, as to whether --
A. No, I did not. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't follow up that matter?
A. No, no, I would have given Mr Blewitt the advice and then he and Mr Wilson and others, on my understanding, are involved in the Association, it's then a matter for them.
Q. Mr Wilson had by this stage moved to Melbourne?
A. My recollection is Mr Wilson moved to Melbourne in mid 1992. Sitting here, I can't tell you what date versus 21 May 1992. There may be something in your - sorry, the Commission's documents that helps clarify that, but I don't have that date in my mind.
Q. He certainly was physically in Melbourne at that time?
A. I can't help with you that, Mr Stoljar. I know he moved to Melbourne in 1992. It may be that in one of the many documents before the Commission that date is clarified.
Q. Did Mr Wilson have discussion with you about why he
wanted to set up a fund in WA?
A. Not other than the ones that we've already gone to in our discussion during the course of this morning.
Q. The position when this memorandum to Mr Blewitt was sent on 21 May 1992 was that, so far as you knew, there had been no committee of management appointed or anything like that? You weren't aware of that?
A. Sorry, just repeat the question, I'm sorry.
Q. The committee of management had not been appointed to the Association?
A. At what point?
Q. When you sent your memorandum to Mr Blewitt on 21 May 1992?
A. I don't know and I didn't - apart from, as we discussed a little bit earlier today, advising about the requirements of an incorporated association, it had to have a minimum number of members, it had to have a committee of management, I didn't make further inquiries about how Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson then went about operationalising that.
Q. The critical function of this Association, as you understood it, was to enable funds to be received in a bank account that would operate so as to obviate the possibility of debate arising in due course about the ownership of those funds?
A. We had that discussion earlier today and you would recall what I said then, that I thought it was about regularising arrangements between a team of people associated within a trade union and going to run for re-election together and election fundraising, in the holding of an account into which election funds raised for the election would be held.
Q. Can I come back to Mr Wilson and his movements in 1992. At a certain point at least he was living in Cardigan Street?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Did you discuss the Association with him from time to time?
A. No.
Q. You had no discussion with him about it at all?
A. No.
Q. You had discussion about his work, I presume?
A. Mr Wilson and I came to be in a relationship. As couples do in relationships, from time to time we'd discuss matters at work, if you had a particularly good day, if you had a particularly bad day, but no, we did not discuss the Association. And whilst I would say, Mr Stoljar, whilst this matter has come to assume a great deal of significance in the years since, at the time of these events and my provision of advice, it had no particular significance.
Q. Which, the Association had no particular significance?
A. I mean in terms of a busy legal practice, it did not stand out, amongst the many legal matters on which I advised, as a matter of particular significance, or the significance it has come to bear, you know, as has happened as the years have gone on.
Q. At some point during either the latter part of 1992 or early 1993, Mr Wilson started looking for properties for acquisition by Mr Blewitt?
A. Yes. As I've dealt with in my principal statement and as is dealt with in the Slater \& Gordon transcript of meeting, yes, my understanding was Mr Blewitt was interested in an investment property and it was to be one in which Mr Wilson resided.
Q. You prepared at some point a power of attorney in relation to that issue?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. That's at page 51 of the bundle.
A. $\quad 51$ of the bundle under tab 2?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. That's a document upon which your signature appears.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Your evidence, as I apprehend it from your statements, is that you have no particular recollection of whether or not Mr Blewitt was in your presence when he signed it, but your evidence is that in the ordinary course of practice, he would have been; is that fair?
A. My evidence is I was a busy solicitor. Across the
years in which I practised as a lawyer, I would have witnessed many thousands of documents. I do not have specific recall of, you know, each and every document I witnessed and the circumstances, you know, which room, which desk, what I was wearing, I don't have that kind of recall, but I witnessed documents appropriately. I would only witness a document if the signatory was in my presence.
Q. Do you recollect any occasion on which you departed from that practice?
A. No.
Q. What about your practice in respect of dating a particular document? Did you have any practice in that regard?
A. You put the right date on a document.
Q. Was there any central repository for original documents at Slater \& Gordon, say, for an original power of attorney?
A. No. No. I mean there was - obviously, Slater \& Gordon had things like a secure wills section and that kind of thing, but no, not for a power of attorney.
Q. Do you recollect having a dinner with Mr Blewitt and others on the evening of 3 February 1993 ?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You attended the auction of the Kerr Street property with Mr Wilson in due course?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did he have the power of attorney with him?
A. I don't have a recollection of him having the power of attorney with him. Having had the opportunity to look at the documents that are before the Commission, it appears you may have come to a different conclusion, Mr Stoljar, but it appears to me he must have because reference is made to it in the contract signed.
Q. What would have been the practice? Mr Blewitt - you witness the signature and then what happens to the document?
A. Well, for this document Mr Wilson would have wanted the original, I would have thought, and a copy would have been kept at Slater \& Gordon.
Q. Right.
A. It may be he had a copy and the original was at

Slater \& Gordon. I don't have a direct recollection of it, Mr Stoljar.
Q. In any event, you and Mr Wilson went along to the auction. Did he have a cheque from Mr Blewitt?
A. I don't know.
Q. How was the deposit paid?
A. I don't have any recollection from the time about how the deposit was paid. I know that there are documents before the Commission that go to this matter but I don't have a recollection.
Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr Wilson about where Mr Blewitt had come up with the money for the deposit?
A. I've had the opportunity obviously to refresh my recollection, looking at the transcript, of meetings between me and Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw and as I summarise it there is correct.
Q. Which passage are you making reference to when you give that evidence?
A. There's a passage - let me just find it - the passage starting page 10, going over to page 11, that details Mr Blewitt indicating an interest in an investment property and the taxation advantages that accrue from negative gearing and me referring him to Nick Styant-Browne for some financial planning style advice and then the paragraphs that deal with the purchase of the property.
Q. At page 11, in the middle at the top, and 141, in the bottom right, you say.
... Ralph came here, looked at properties ...

And then.
... I assisted with fixing up the power of attorney so that Bruce could do the bidding ...

Mr Gordon says.

> ... on the day of the auction with that power of attorney Wilson attended and I think you attended too?
> $J G: Y e s, ~ I ~ d i d . ~$
> $P G: ~ W h o ~ d i d ~ t h e ~ b i d d i n g ? ~$

And then at the bottom of the page:
GS: Do you have any recollection of what happened with the deposit on the day, how that was paid?
JG: No, I don't have any recollection.
On the bottom of page 142. The position must be that Mr Blewitt obviously didn't know how much the property was going to be knocked down for in advance, so he must have filled out the cheque on the day of the auction; is that right?
A. I don't have any recollection about the cheque. I'm not sure at the time $I$ had any knowledge about the cheque. I think you'11 find dealt with elsewhere in the documents before the Commission there's discussion about the cheque from either Mr Blewitt or Mr Wilson.
Q. In your witness statement, that's number 4, at paragraph 22 --
A. Paragraph 22?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. You say.

I was not the solicitor handing that file.
That's the conveyancing file:
The file was handled by the commercial department, which was a different section from where $I$ worked.

And you say:
It is apparent from the ... conveyancing file that I prepared a power of attorney ...

Et cetera. You did have some involvement in the conveyancing matter. Was there some particular reason why you were involved in some aspects and not others?
A. Well, the conveyance was undertaken by the
conveyancing paralegal, who is a person known to me as 01 ive Brosnahan. She has given evidence to this Commission. She's obviously married in the years since and is now Olive Palmer.
Q. Yes.
A. So she handled the conveyancing file. I've never done a conveyancing file. You are right, Mr Stoljar, that there were obviously some inquiries, I think it's one or two or three, or something in that order, on the conveyancing file that I dealt with. I'm happy to go through them, if you would like.
Q. Why don't we go to page 93 behind tab 2? Is that Ms Brosnahan's handwriting?
A. I don't know.
Q. But is it the case --
A. It's either her handwriting or I mentioned it to a clerical staff member who wrote down a note and gave it to Olive: I don't know which.
Q. You were clearly seeking a memorandum from Mr -A. "NOB" is 01 ive Brosnahan.
Q. I'm sorry, from 01 ive Brosnahan.
A. Yes.
Q. About the circumstances in which a higher interest rate is charged. That's presumably under the mortgage which was being provided by Slater \& Gordon or some association or entity associated with it. Do you recollect why you were seeking that information?
A. I must have been asked by - sorry, I don't recollect. I don't have a direct recollection. Even at the time in 1995 of my discussion with Mr Shaw and Mr Gordon, I didn't have a direct recollection of these matters. Having had the opportunity to see the conveyancing file which went into the public domain a couple of years ago, obviously I would have had an inquiry from Mr Blewitt about what it meant, what a reference to an interest rate meant, or something like that, and so $I$ sent $a$ - sent or caused someone else to send a note around to 01ive.
Q. And then on page 97 is the memorandum you'd requested. A. Yes.
Q. I take it you would have communicated that information back to Mr Blewitt or Mr Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. Because Mr Wilson from time to time was also making inquiries about this matter?
A. I think the file shows that.
Q. Yes. You certainly understood at this stage that Mr Blewitt was obtaining a mortgage from Mr Rothfield to procure part of the purchase moneys for the Kerr Street property?
A. At the time of the requesting of the memo from Olive, yes.
Q. Yes. When did you become aware of that information?
A. Oh, I don't know. I don't recall.
Q. If you come to page 98, that's another document from the conveyancing file. Did you have a discussion with Ms Brosnahan about the costs and the writing off of costs? A. Yes, I did.
Q. Typically, she would come to you, as a partner with some knowledge of the matter, to discuss whether or not Slater \& Gordon would write off the fees; is that right? A. It was routine at Slater \& Gordon, as I think I described to you earlier, with the industrial unit developing relationships with trade unions, trade union officials, with Slater \& Gordon seeking the referral of plaintiff personal injury work, it was common at Slater \& Gordon for fees to be - for something routine like a conveyance, for fees to be wholly or partly declined.
Q. Page 99 is a letter of 17 March 1993 to Mr Wilson. Did you have a discussion with Mr Wilson about this letter, do you recollect?
A. No.
Q. Have you seen the letter before?
A. Not other than in the Commission's documents.
Q. Did you see it at the time?
A. No.
Q. The letter makes reference, among other things, to the fact that the principal sum advanced under the mortgage is $\$ 150,000$ and then it deals with default rates of interest at the top of page 100 and at page 101 --
A. Page 100, yes.
Q. Then if you come through to page 101:

The Mortgagee requires prior to settlement an original Insurance Policy or Certificate of Currency ...

When you read that paragraph, does it refresh your memory you had discussions with Mr Wilson about these matters?
A. No, it does not.
Q. Because what the mortgagee required was, yes, a certificate of currency from an approved insurer noting the interests of Mr Rothfield as first mortgagee for not less than \$200,000?
A. Yes, that's what the document says.
Q. That's something that you attended to yourself?
A. I don't believe I ever saw this letter. Separately, on the conveyancing file, you're right, that there are a number of file notes, et cetera, which seem to indicate the certificate - what's it called? The certificate of currency? Is that right?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. The certificate of currency was being chased from Mr Blewitt, that Mr Blewitt needed to obtain and provide a certificate of currency. Presumably, that's related to completing the conveyancing transaction and the matters associated with the mortgage. The conveyancing file appears to show that that was being sought and I did obviously assist at that point.
Q. Is the answer to my question "Yes", that obtaining the certificate of currency is something that you attended to yourself?
A. I obviously assisted with it. Where I'm disagreeing with you, Mr Stoljar, is I didn't see the letter you refer to. There's nothing in that letter that caused me to assist with obtaining the certificate of currency. If you
look elsewhere on the conveyancing file, I think there's some back and forth that's documented between 01ive and the legal assistant who worked with me, about the certificate of currency.
Q. And that file note - are you referring by that to the file note at page 114? You may not be; I'm just asking.
A. Yes.
Q. That's Ms Brosnahan's handwriting?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. She had left a --
A. Well, I presume it is. I can't give evidence about whose handwriting is whose, but given it is signed by her.
Q. Well, Mr - I am sorry, please finish.
A. Yes. Given it's --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. It's not actually signed by her at the right day: it's 19 January 2013. She's identifying the document.
A. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Okay.
Q. Just so that you're not under any misapprehension.
A. Yes.

MR STOLJAR: Q. But anyway, this file note records, it would appear, a conversation with Mr Wilson, "Certificate of currency through", I think that should be "Commonwealth Bank":

Ralph spoke to Julia Gillard.
Spoke to Ralph this morning.
He was chasing up Commonwealth Bank.
And then if you come to the next page, 115 -
A. And then under that there's, "To: Julia. Not in. Left message for her to ring me", or something like that.
Q. Yes. If you come over to the next page, page 115, CBA wrote to you on 22 March 1993 saying:

In accordance with your request, we advise the buildings are insured for $\$ 200,000$ with
Commonwealth Bank of Australia Insurance
Scheme ...
The interest of [Mr Rothfield] as first mortgagee has been noted.

It would appear that you chased up the Commonwealth Bank re getting that certificate of currency?
A. I either chased up the Commonwealth Bank or I chased up Ralph to chase up the Commonwealth Bank, I don't know which.
Q. The letter is addressed to you. It says:

Dear Madam ...
In accordance with your request ...
A. Yes.
Q. That appears to be responsive to a request made by you?
A. Yes, you may well be right. I was just looking at the document before that says, "Ralph spoke to Julia Gillard.
Spoke to Ralph this morning. He was chasing up
Commonwealth Bank."
Q. Could you come back to page 103.
A. 103?
Q. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a short point you're on, Mr Stoljar?

MR STOLJAR: Yes. I will raise a short point anyway and then perhaps come back to this after lunch.

THE COMMISSIONER: Al1 right.
MR STOLJAR: Q. My only question is had you seen this letter or did you see a copy of this letter before it was sent?
A. No.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. Is this a suitable time to adjourn?

MR STOLJAR: Yes, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: The hearing will resume at 2 pm .

## LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

## UPON RESUMPTION

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Stoljar.
MR STOLJAR: Q. Ms Gillard, I was asking you about a letter which appears on page 103 behind tab 2 . Just before the break, you indicated that you had not seen this letter before it was sent. We were just looking at a letter to you from the CBA of 22 March 1993 in relation to certificate of currency. That, just to remind you, was the date on which settlement of the matter was to take place: that is, of the conveyance. This letter on page 103 is sent a few days prior to settlement and seeks that Mr Blewitt send a bank cheque in the sum of $\$ 67,000$-odd. I know you said you had not seen this letter. Do you recollect discussions with Mr Blewitt about that matter in the few days leading up to the 22nd?
A. About the certificate of currency or the need to pay the balance of the funds?
Q. The need to pay the balance of the funds.
A. No, no discussions.
Q. You had no discussions?
A. I had no discussions with Mr Blewitt about that matter.
Q. Did you have discussions with Mr Wilson about that matter?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You will see from this letter that it is said to be a copy of a letter dated 18 March 1993 which was sent to Mr Blewitt, but it is seeking either a bank cheque by the next day or that an amount be telegraphically transferred into a trust account by the next day. To your recollection was there some flurry of activity about obtaining the funds for settlement?
A. Not insofar as I was aware.
Q. Can you come through to page 106 of the bundle. That is a cheque signed on the account of the AWU Workplace Reform Association in the sum of money that had been
requested in the letter we just looked at, $\$ 67,722.30$. It has been drawn on the account of the Association, as you can see. Did you have any discussion with Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt about that matter?
A. Certainly not.
Q. Were you having any discussions with Mr Wilson about what the Association was doing at this stage, March 1993? A. No.
Q. He was contemplating standing for re-election, was he?
A. By 1993, Mr Wilson had become Branch Secretary of the Victorian Branch and I don't recall the dates. They'd be transparent on the documents before the Commission, but I think discussions would have been in train about the creation of the National Construction Branch. So Mr Wilson was certainly continuing to pursue his union career, but I don't recall there being elections that he was focused on that were imminent.
Q. But over this time, this is a year or so after the Association has been set up, you surely had some discussion with him about how the Association was going or the like?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you have any discussion about fundraising for his - whether he stood for an election or stood for some position in the NCB or otherwise?
A. No, we did not.
Q. You never discussed that at all?
A. No, we did not.
Q. Come back to this letter on page 106. As we have seen, that is a cheque drawn from the account of the Workplace Reform Association and it is drawn in favour of Slater \& Gordon Trust Account. Slater \& Gordon maintained a trust account in WA, did it?
A. Oh, I don't know, Mr Stoljar. Slater \& Gordon certainly had, you know, an accounting section and a trust account and, you know, there were record keeping for trust funds and if you had a file that touched upon trust funds then obviously there was the appropriate record-keeping, but whether there were two accounts or one central trust account for the whole firm, I do not recall.
Q. You do not recall whether it set up separate accounts
in each state or whether there was some central account?
A. No. I mean I as a solicitor had to, in terms of the file work, acquit the paperwork obligations in relation to the trust account, but I was not operating the trust account. There was an accounting section to do that.
Q. That sum of $\$ 67,000$-odd was needed to procure the balance of settlement moneys in enable the settlement to proceed. If you come to page 123A --
A. 123A?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. There is a notation, a handwritten notation:

Not enough money in trust.
Do you recognise that handwriting?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You will see that it is an account ledger from S1ater \& Gordon and it is in fact dated 24 March 1993, printed on that morning. There are some items under the heading, "Date", "Reference" and "Description" and they identify the source of particular funds that appear to have arrived in the Slater \& Gordon Trust Account. You will
see, for example, on 15 March 1993, there is an amount received on account of a valuation fee of $\$ 500$ and then it is a little hard to read, but on a date in March, it might be 22 March 1993, there is an amount received, said to be from a Mr Ralph Blewitt, balance of purchase moneys, direct deposit, and it was $\$ 67,722.30$. I just took you to the cheque and it was drawn on the account of the Association. Do you know why Slater \& Gordon recorded in its trust account records that that money had been received from Mr Blewitt?
A. No idea, and I had no contact with this trust account record.
Q. Who in the ordinary course of business maintained the trust account record at Slater \& Gordon at that time?
A. It was - the trust account record was maintained in the accounting section.
Q. Yes.
A. In terms of a conveyancing file, the operator of the
file, Ms Brosnahan, now Palmer, would have made arrangements and the supervising partner was Nick Styant-Browne.
Q. When you say "made arrangements" --
A. Obviously, she is a conveyancing clerk. She would regularly be dealing with moneys in and out of trust.
There would have been a system of forms and paperwork which she would have acquitted in relation to each file.
Q. Are you able to shed any light on how the entry, "Mr Ralph Blewitt" came to be on the account ledger rather than "Workplace Reform Association"?
A. Absolutely none.
Q. You don't recollect or do you recollect the individuals who were responsible for maintaining the account ledger for the trust account at that time?
A. I had no dealing with this account ledger, the one you are referring me to, no knowledge of it. I do not recall the names of the staff who worked in the accounting section. That may be ascertainable through Slater \& Gordon.
Q. And then further down that page there is an amount received from Slater \& Gordon Trust Account which was the mortgage loan in the amount of $\$ 150,000$.
A . Yes .
Q. That was a loan that was organised through Slater \& Gordon?
A. Yes. We discussed that earlier, the mortgage practice which was overseen by Jonathan Rothfield. I think we went to that before lunch.
Q. Did Mr Wilson ever suggest to you that the Kerr Street property was acquired for union purposes?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Did he ever suggest to you it was being acquired by the Association?
A. No, he did not.
Q. The firm Slater \& Gordon performed two legal tasks for Mr Blewitt, that is to say, conveyancing and in addition the provision of some financial planning advice?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. I think you made reference to that in your evidence this morning?
A. Yes. In the discussion with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw in 1995, it records me saying that when Ralph Blewitt started talking about the purchase of property, that I referred him to Nick Styant-Browne for financial planning advice.
Q. Did he ask for that referral to be made?
A. I don't recall the words of the conversation.

Obviously out of the conversation I thought he may seek such advice and Nick Styant-Browne was in a position to provide it, or financial planners working under Nick Styant-Browne's oversight.
Q. You have a definite recollection of Mr Blewitt asking for that, do you?
A. My best recollection is the one informed by the September 1995 transcript.
Q. Oh, I see. You have reviewed that --
A. Yes, I have refreshed my memory obviously from looking at the transcript.
Q. But you are not suggesting, as you sit here today, you have a recollection of a conversation with Mr Blewitt in which he requested that advice?
A. I don't have a direct memory of a conversation. What we do have is this record of a conversation in 1995 where I go to the matter.
Q. Could you have a look at page 94 of the bundle behind tab 2.
A. 94 .
Q. This is a letter of approval in respect of the loan application from Slater \& Gordon to Mr Blewitt dated 10 March 1993. It refers to a procuration fee payable to Slater \& Gordon Financial Planning Pty Ltd. Was that the entity which was giving the financial advice?
A. I see. The 0.5 per cent payable. Yes, so there was - that's right. There was a separate company within - so there was obviously the legal firm. There was a company trading as Slater \& Gordon which provided the financial planning advice.
Q. This letter, or the acceptance of the terms of the
letter has been placed upon the letter by Mr Wilson exercising the power of attorney, if you have a look at page 96. The position is that Mr Wilson was executing all relevant documents in relation to conveyance pursuant to that power of attorney, that was your --
A. That's what the file shows, Mr Stoljar. I had no --
Q. But that was your --
A. No. I wasn't dealing with the conveyancing file.

I wasn't dealing day-to-day with these documents.
I wouldn't have sighted these documents at the time.
Obviously, sitting here now with the document in front of me, it is clear Mr Wilson signed it, but that wouldn't have been known to me at the time.
Q. You certainly had some dealings with the conveyancing file, didn't you?
A. Yes, but not with the document you are referring to me now.
Q. You were still in a relationship with Mr Wilson at that time?
A. Yes, I was, but I was --
Q. And you must have been having some discussions with Mr Wilson about these matters?
A. As I have indicated to you, I had discussions with Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson, with Mr Blewitt indicating that he wanted to purchase an investment property, and then the property was bought. We've been through the limited number of occasions that I did anything in relation to the conveyancing file. I didn't operate the conveyancing file and it was not in my possession.
Q. I just want to talk further a little bit about the financial planning. On page 110 of the bundle --
A. 110?
Q. Yes. There is a disbursement order which deals, among other things, with the procuration fee, to which I have already made reference.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. Did you see this document about the time it was created?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did Ms Brosnahan have any discussion with you about whether or not to write off the procuration fee?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. You were the person to whom she would have raised that or with whom she would have raised that matter; correct?
A. If she - yes. Yes. If she thought it was a relevant issue to raise, she would have raised it with me, that's true.
Q. She raised with you the question of writing off other fees and disbursements in relation to conveyance; that's correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It is quite likely she would have raised whether or not to write off the procuration fee; is that right?
A. If she wanted advice or instructions about writing off this fee, yes, she would have asked me.
Q. Could you come back to page 88. You will have to look at it on the side, but it seems to be a note. It is drawn from the Slater \& Gordon conveyancing file, but it is a note about the financial planning matter. I won't take you through the whole document, but towards the bottom it says - this may be Ms Brosnahan's writing, I'm not certain:

Attending Mr Wilson re above 4/3/93 - he will let me have proof of income by fax then original.

And I think it says:
And also let me have cheque for $\$ 500$.
The $\$ 500$ is presumably the valuation fee.
A. I am just admiring your sterling decoding job.
Q. Thank you. Mr Wilson seemed to be personally involved in the provision of information in respect of the financial planning matter. Did he have any discussion with you about that?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Were you involved in any way in the provision of the financial planning advice as distinct from the conveyancing matter?
A. No.
Q. Information was then provided, beginning on page 89, concerning Mr Blewitt's income position. Do you know whether any information was received concerning any other sources of income that Mr Blewitt might have at that time? A. No, I knew nothing about it at that time.
Q. And you don't know whether any detail of his wife's income or asset position was obtained?
A. No. I had no knowledge then and I have no knowledge now.
Q. Likewise, I take it from your last answer that you do not have any information as to whether there was any detail of Mr Blewitt's asset position obtained for the purposes of financial planning?
A. No idea, no. I had no connection with the matter.
Q. You knew at the time that he was borrowing in the order of \$150,000 through the S1ater \& Gordon mortgage, Mr Rothfield's mortgage?
A. I knew it was Ralph Blewitt's intention to have a mortgage for the balance above the deposit. I wouldn't necessarily have been able to rattle off the figures. I'm not sure I was familiar with the figures.
Q. You knew there was a shortfall between the amount that was being provided pursuant to the Slater \& Gordon mortgage and the balance required to effect settlement?
A. I'm not sure what you mean about shortfall. You mean over and above the deposit?
Q. No. He paid the deposit in the first instance and then he borrowed $\$ 150,000$ and then that still left an amount of a bit over $\$ 67,000$ which was the shortfall between the amount Slater \& Gordon were apparently prepared to advance and what was required to effect settlement. You may not have known the specific details, but you knew there was a shortfall between what was being advanced under the mortgage and the amount required to effect settlement?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't know that?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you make any inquiry of Mr Wilson or Mr Blewitt as
to where he had procured funds to pay the deposit?
A. No. My understanding, as we discussed I think before lunch, was in accordance with the discussion I had in 1995 with Peter Gordon and Geoff Shaw. My understanding was that Mr Blewitt wanted to purchase an investment property, that he had from his own funds, his family's funds, his and his wife's funds, the ability to pay a deposit. He was looking to have a mortgage and have the investment and also the tax benefit consequence consequential on the negative gearing.
Q. Can I ask you to come to page 147 of the interview?
A. 147 of the interview?
Q. Yes, behind tab 3. You were asked the question in about the bottom third of that page:

Have any renovations taken place on that property?

That is the Abbotsford property. You say:
Yes ... I moved there in July 1991.
And then you say some other things, and then in the fourth line you say:
... I didn't really do anything of substance to the property for twelve, eighteen months, something like that. I then started to get various bits of work done, go relatively slowly and as I could afford to get them done bit by bit.

That accurately describes the first 18 months or so in which you were occupying the Abbotsford property?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. You say:

I remember commenting to Geoff...
Is that Mr Shaw?
A. Yes, it was.
Q.
... at one point that I renovated so slowly
other people would call it maintenance because not much was happening.

And you say:
Then substantial renovations got done on the property last year which included the kitchen being entirely redone and the bathroom and laundry being entirely redone and internal plastering and painting being done ...

You say at the top of next page:
... I got all that work done in September, October, Novemberish last year.

That is 1994?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And then Mr Gordon asks you another question and then you go through it, as you say piece by piece. I am not going to go through it item by item but you say:

I originally got glasswork and paving work
done that was because the way in which the
glasswork and the paving were done at that
time was causing water to go into the
foundations. I contracted with
a glassworker ... called Athol James.
And then you say:
... I got three quotes and then picked the lowest ...
A. Yes.
Q. And that accurately describes what occurred?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Come further down the page. At about point eight you say:
... I had a long term plan to fix the bathroom and laundry. Both were a sort of seventies renovation which amongst other things was red and yellow in colour and

> I therefore wanted to get it replaced. I went away for a holiday in late August early September last year ...

Pausing there that is 1994?
A. Yes, it is.
Q.
... and I had been talking for a long time about getting this bathroom and laundry work done. And, Bruce whilst I was away decided that $I$ should just get it done so he commenced with a group of friends demolishing the bathroom.

That is what happened, was it?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. So you had gone away obviously without him for a period of time. You went overseas?
A. No, I think I went on a holiday to Queensland.
Q. Wherever, you went away, and you came back and you discovered that he had demolished the bathroom?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. That must have been an interesting homecoming. In any event, you say:

> By the time I came back the bathroom had been demolished so I had no option but to get the rest of the renovations done and a series of tradespeople who Jim Collins predominantly organised...

Let's just look at that a bit more closely. You came back, the bathroom had been demolished, so you had no option at that point but to get the rest of the renovations done and you say:
... a series of trades people who
Jim Collins predominantly organised ...
"Jim Collins predominantly organised", Jim Collins being an organiser of the AWU. He worked with Mr Wilson, did he?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. In substance, Mr Wilson was organising the tradespeople, wasn't he?
A. Jim Collins was recommending people and, you know, they were performing the work.
Q. Is this the position: you came back and found the bathroom had been demolished and you said to Mr Wilson, "Well, you'd better fix this up"?
A. No. I'd been talking about getting the renovations done for quite a long period of time. Bruce Wilson obviously thought I should get on with it and created circumstances where I had to get on with it. Jim Collins recommended a series of tradespeople and I dealt with them and they performed work and I paid them.
Q. And then you say:

> ... a series of tradespeople came in and did the renovation which predominantly consisted of the bathroom, completing the kitchen, tiling on the kitchen floor, plastering work, replacement of ceilings and the like.

It was quite a major renovation; correct?
A. It was the biggest single renovation $I$ had done at this home.
Q. That work then commenced, if you go back to 148 , in September/October - sorry, it commenced in September and continued through October and into November; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. So you came back from your holiday in early September, that is at the bottom of page 148, and the work got underway that very month?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. That was quite a substantial piece of work requiring, as it is said here, the bathroom - the bathroom had been demolished so that had to be done, completing the kitchen, tiling on the kitchen floor, plastering work, replacement of ceilings and the like. That is at 149. You didn't get any quotes or the like from any tradesmen?
A. My recollection is $I$ wanted to get on with this job obviously, given the state of the house and that Jim Collins was in a position to recommend people.

I thought he would make good recommendations and so I relied on that.
Q. You weren't concerned to get comparable quotes in the way that you had done when you caused work to be done in the previous year or two, as you describe in 148? You say you got three quotes and picked the lowest one?
A. On this occasion I wanted to just get on with it.
Q. In fact, just got on with it, the work started a matter of a few days virtually after you came back from your holiday?
A. I don't remember the exact time period between me returning and the work starting, but the work started soon after. I couldn't give you a count of days or weeks.
Q. You didn't trouble to do any budget or costing or anything of that kind?
A. I'd been talking about getting these renovations done for a long period of time. I was, you know, confident I had between doing things like extending my mortgage and relying on my salary, I was confident that I was able to finance them. I just hadn't got around to organising them and getting them done.
Q. So is the answer to my question that you didn't do any budgeting or costing or anything of that kind?
A. The answer to your question is I, having done some renovations, thinking, I had in my mind an order of magnitude of likely costs of these renovations and thought I would be in a position to finance them.
Q. The answer to my question is you didn't do any budgeting or costing of any kind?
A. I didn't sit down and write a budget, if that's what you mean, Mr Stoljar, no.
Q. You left it to Bruce, through Jim Collins, to arrange?
A. Work commenced. Getting quotes - quotes as in likely expenditure from the individual tradespeople that paid invoices, made arrangements with the bank to extend my mortgage in order to finance the renovations.
Q. If you come back to 149, Mr Gordon asks you, about halfway down the page:

In terms of the tradesmen who did the work

> . . who were they?

You say:

> ... I don't recall their names. I have some of their receipts at home.

When you would organise the tradesmen yourself, such as Athol James - you certainly remembered him?
A. Yes, I remembered Mr James. He came on more than one occasion to my home.
Q. He was practically living there at one point?
A. I used that form of words in this interview but I got him back to do I think it was three pieces of work and so I, you know, remembered him because he had done work over an extended period of time at my home.
Q. You say at 149 , halfway down the page:
... I don't recall their names. I have some of their receipts at home.

Who had the other receipts?
A. I was the only person who would have had receipts.
Q. You say further down in that paragraph and this is at the end of that same paragraph:

```
... I do have a series of receipts from
```

various of them about bits of the work that was done.

Does that mean that some of the tradesmen did not supply receipts?
A. No, it does not.
Q. Isn't that the natural reading of what you have said there:
... I do have a series of receipts from various of them ...
A. What those words mean and my recollection of these events is clearly the question of renovations at my home had become somewhat controversial and remarked upon. I commenced to pool my receipts together. I'd been through
the receipts prior to this interview. After this interview, I continued to pool all my receipts together and to study them to satisfy myself that I had paid for all renovations at my home.
Q. When you were doing the interview you said:
... I have a series of receipts from various of them about bits of the work that was done.

I suggest that some of them hadn't given you receipts and there was other work in respect of which there was no receipts?
A. That's not what I meant by those words.
Q. What, you didn't have any quotes from any of these tradesmen?
A. No. The way I went about it is as I have described to you.
Q. You didn't have any contracts with any of them?
A. I obviously had oral contracts with them, agreements with them, that they would perform the work and that I would pay their accounts when they were rendered.
Q. Well, agreements entered into by either Mr Wilson or Mr Collins on his behalf with these tradesmen; is that right?
A. No.
Q. You didn't enter into any agreements with these tradesmen, did you?
A. I entered into agreements with them. They performed the work, so I knew that they were coming to my home.
I knew what work they were going to perform. I'd sought, you know, estimates of the amount of money that would be required to pay them and when it was necessary to pay them and receipts were - "invoices" is the more proper expression. When invoices were given to me, I paid them.
Q. I'm sorry, you say invoices were given to you?
A. When these tradespeople rendered their accounts.
Q. That is not what you say in your interview, is it? You say you have receipts from these tradespeople. That is very different from invoices, isn't it?
A. I think there is a series of things you get from tradespeople. You get their bill. You pay their bill and then you get a receipt for the moneys paid.
Q. Some people do. Do you say in your evidence now that you received invoices from these tradesmen?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Where do I find that in either your statement - well, let's start with your statement. Where do I find that in your statement?
A. I think it is comprehended by the expression, "I do have a series of receipts." What I meant was I had been, you know, invoiced and paid.
Q. You have been answering questions about these issues for many years; correct?
A. I most certainly have, Mr Stoljar.
Q. And you have never before suggested that you had anything from these tradesmen other than receipts, have you?
A. I don't - I am not putting it as a stylised term of art the way you are.
Q. It is not a stylised term of art, Ms Gillard. These are simple English words, aren't they, "invoices" and "receipts"?
A. Yes, they are and when you read all of this which is, you know, a fairly contemporaneous recollection, what it is telling you is that tradespeople came, tradespeople performed work. I obviously got invoices to know how much to pay them. I paid them and they gave me receipts.
Q. A11 you have ever said to date is that you received receipts from some of these tradesmen.

THE COMMISSIONER: Just before you proceed with that question, if you look at the second witness statement, paragraph 11, the fourth-last line and the last line.

MR STOLJAR: Yes. That is dealing with an invoice from Mr Spyridis, as I understand it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Your question may need qualification.
MR STOLJAR: Q. Yes. Just for clarity, Ms Gillard, you
have made in your evidence references to an invoice from Mr Spyridis and you have also, in fairness to you, I think, made reference to invoices from Mr James or, in any event, there may be invoices from Mr James in evidence. Save for those two pieces of evidence, you have not previously deposed that you received anything other than receipts from the tradesmen who did work on your house in 1994; that's right, isn't it?
A. Well, the form of words here in what is referred to as page 149 in these documents from my discussion with Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw in 1995, I am talking about having occasion over the course of the weekend to look through my personal records. I do have a series of receipts. I used that form of words then. I'd only have had a series of receipts if I'd been invoiced and knew how much to pay.
Q. This is really the point, isn't it, that if payments are made by cash, you may not have receipts for every payment, that's right, isn't it?
A. I paid for renovations by cheque.
Q. By cheque?
A. Yes.
Q. Every item of work that was done was paid for by cheque?
A. It was my practice to pay by cheque.
Q. Where do I find in your statement the proposition that
all of the work that was done in 1994, so setting aside Mr Spyridis and Mr James, was paid for by cheque?
A. I don't recall being asked that in the course of this discussion with Mr Shaw and Mr Gordon.
Q. No, I am sorry, in your statement of evidence to this Commission, do you give that evidence in your statement?
A. Oh, sorry, do I give that evidence in my statement to the Commission?
Q. Yes.
A. I think in my statement I talk about paying from my own funds, but I am happy to go to the individual paragraphs.
Q. Yes. Paragraph 26, for example.
A. Yes.
Q. It simply says --
A. My renovations "on my property were from my own money which was either derived from a loan from the bank and from my salary."
Q. Yes, but you say that in fact payments were made by cheque; is that your evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr Collins had organised the tradesmen?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Did he hand over the cheques or did you?
A. I would have - depending on the circumstances, I would have handed a cheque or there may have been times that I posted a cheque.
Q. You see, the position is that you would not know - I mean, you were maintaining your practice at this time, I take it?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. So you were a partner at Slater \& Gordon during these months?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you annoyed that Mr Wilson had smashed up your bathroom?
A. It wasn't my preference, no.
Q. You had left it to him or to Mr Collins to organise these tradesmen?
A. Mr Collins recommended tradesmen. That obviously assisted with organising the works, but in terms of, you know, picking tiles, picking colours, all of those kinds of things that you do associated with renovations, I did those things. I paid for the work.
Q. You did those things, but you would not know on a day-by-day basis who was doing what work, would you? A. I would know that there were tradespeople at work in my home. They were at work in my home over a period of time.
Q. Mr Wilson obviously had access to the home?
A. Yes. He could have access to the home.
Q. He or Mr Collins were organising the tradesmen?
A. They didn't need someone supervising them as they went about the work. I mean, once it was apparent that - to take an example, if the bathroom needed to be tiled then the tiler would get about tiling the bathroom. No-one needs to be standing over his shoulder as he's doing that.
Q. Quite so. The position is that either Mr Wilson or Mr Collins on his behalf may have paid some of the tradesmen who were coming in and out and you wouldn't know anything about it?
A. That's not possible because --
Q. Well, it is possible, isn't it?
A. Mr Stoljar, at the time of all of this, in 1995, I took steps to satisfy myself that looking at the amount of work that had been performed on my home, that I had paid for it all. That $I$ had documents, receipts, invoices that showed that I'd paid for it all. At the time of this interview in 1995, it is obviously during what was a stressful period in my life, I had not fully satisfied myself at the point of this interview. I took further steps to fully satisfy myself.
Q. You took further steps by looking at further receipts; is that right?
A. That's right, and looking around my home, looking at what had been done and satisfying myself that I had paid for what had been done.
Q. If payments are made in cash, it is entirely possible that no receipt is issued, correct, as a matter of practice?
A. That is not relevant. If you look around and you have had painting done and you have a receipt for the amount of moneys that you have paid the painter --
Q. Do you accept my proposition that, as a matter of theory, if payments are made in cash, the recipient of the cash payment may or may not issue a receipt?
A. Well, I don't think we're here to deal with matters of theory, Mr Stoljar.
Q. We probably won't. That is right, isn't it?
A. I did not hear you, sorry?
Q. The person receiving the cash may well not issue
a receipt, that's right, isn't it?
A. I am sure that in our big wide world that there are tradespeople who take cash and don't issue receipts. It was my practice to pay by cheque.
Q. The position when you gave the interview to Mr Gordon and Mr Shaw, as at that day, was that you were uncertain whether you had paid for the work yourself; is that right?
A. That's right, and the interview records it.
Q. Mr Gordon asks you on page 150, at the top of the page:
... is it fair to say as a general summary
of that work that all of the work was paid for by you?

And you say:
I believe all of the work was paid for by me.

And the words "I believe" suggest you weren't sure about it; correct?
A. If you look across this interview, what you see is me indicating that $I$ believed that I paid for all of the work. I couldn't see how it was possible that I hadn't paid for all of the work. I say that I have been looking at my records subsequent to the interview. I took more steps to get all of my records in one place and to go through them forensically and to satisfy myself that I paid for all of the work.
Q. I know you are anxious to say that you checked your records after the date of the interview. If we could just focus on the interview for the moment. You say:

I believe all of the work was paid for by me. I was getting receipts, I was paying $i t$.

And then if one comes to page 153, you are giving a long answer, which I will not take you through, but towards the bottom of that page you say:

I will meet with Bob as soon as possible for the purpose of clarifying that matter.

That is Mr Bob Smith, and you say:
... I believe that that must be the source of the rumour, that must be the factual construct behind what has become the rumour about, about the association or Bruce or the union ...

And then you say:
... I can't categorically rule out that something at my house didn't get paid for by the association or something at my house didn't get paid for by the union or whatever, I just, I don't feel confident saying I can categorically rule it out ...

This is work that was done --
MR CLELLAND: I wonder if the rest of that answer might be read to the witness, Commissioner.

MR STOLJAR: Certain1y.
MR CLELLAND: At least down to the words "that I hadn't paid for".

MR STOLJAR: Q. Certainly. I will go right back to the beginning. You say:

> ... I believe that that must be the source of the rumour ... that must be the factual construct behind what has become the rumour about, about the association or Bruce or the union or whoever paying for work on my house and I don't obviously given I've been fairly surprised by events to date in relation to this matter, I can't categorically rule out that something at my house didn't get paid for by the association or something at my house didn't get paid for by the union or whatever, I just, I don't feel confident saying I can categorically rule it out, but I can't see how it's happened because that really is the only bit of work that that that I would
identify that $I$ hadn't paid for.
So that was the position as at the date of interview?
A. Yes, and for completeness it goes on, the interview.
Q. Yes.
A.

And it seems, just looking at the receipts and how much $I$ borrowed and all the rest of it that $I$ basically paid full value for everything else, so it doesn't seem to me looking at the house and working through it mentally that there is sort of thousands of dollars of free unexplained work lying around in the house. It seems to me that I've paid you know relatively ordinary funds for work to get done and you know I just don't see where there could be big money coming from any other source into the renovations.
Q. You are talking there about "big money".
A. And I am talking there at various points - I'd used the phrase "big money" once, that's true, and then I talk about I basically paid full value for everything else.
Q. I would like to come back to what is on page 153:
... I can't categorically rule out that something at my house didn't get paid for by the association or something at my house didn't get paid for by the union ...

That is only - it is less than a year after the work was done?
A. So this interview is in September 1995.
Q. Yes.
A. And the work was done in September/October/November the year before, so that's right, less than a year.
Q. It's less than a year later, and you are unable to rule out that at least some funds had come from the Association or from the union?
A. Well, it had never been suggested to me or occurred to me in any way before this time, in 1995, that anybody else was contending they had paid for any part of the
renovations. It was just not controversial. I got renovations done at my home and I paid for them. It became controversial in 1995. I took steps to check. Some of those steps are discussed in this interview. I took further steps after it.
Q. The interview is 11 September. By that stage there were rumours swirling around the firm about corrupt conduct and the like; that's right?
A. During this time, of course, there were issues of controversy within the firm, yes, absolutely.
Q. You heard the evidence of Justice Murphy yesterday, I take it?
A. I have read the transcript of Justice Murphy's transcript.
Q. You don't take issue with what he said about rumours swirling around the firm about corrupt conduct?
A. No, I don't broadly take issue with it, no.
Q. Those matters were al1 coming out from about mid-July onwards?
A. I'd say in August - I think Mr Murphy's evidence is August and September, but obviously that can be checked.
Q. We don't need to check it. Mr --
A. But in and around this period of 1995, certain1y.
Q. Yes, because Mr Wilson came to you first, did he not?
A. As is indicated in this interview, yes, that's right.

I received some instructions from Mr Wilson.
Q. And you deciined to act for him?
A. I referred him to Mr Murphy. I thought that was the appropriate course of conduct.
Q. Why did you regard that as the appropriate course of conduct?
A. I thought, given the nature of what he was teling me, that it was a more appropriate matter for Mr Murphy, given our personal relationship.
Q. Did you regard yourself as in a position of conflict between a duty that you might owe to him, personally, by reason of his being your client in respect of the Association and the duties that you owed to the AWU by
reason of they being Slater \& Gordon's client?
A. You have made an assumption in that question, Mr Stoljar, which isn't right. The instructions that
Mr Murphy provided me were about the Members' Welfare Fund and it was having received those instructions that I referred him to Mr Murphy.
Q. I think you said in that answer that Mr Murphy gave you instructions. You meant Mr Wilson?
A. I meant Mr Wilson. Sorry, I misspoke.
Q. That may be so, that he gave you instructions about the Members' Welfare account. But, in any event, he had been your client in his personal capacity in respect of the Association matter?
A. Yes, but in my mind at that time, receiving those instructions, there was no connection between the two.
Q. I see. You say both in your evidence today and, for that matter, in your interview, the record of interview at page 153 going over to page 154, in particular looking at the top of page 154:

```
... I basically paid full value for
everything else, so it doesn't seem to me
looking at the house and working through it
... that there is ... thousands of dollars
of free unexplained work lying around in the house.
```

You had not got any quotes or anything like that, had you? A. No, but what I was referring to at that time is what I had paid for the various things that had been done.
Q. But you were not able to say with any precision what the cost, or whether the amounts paid would cover the actual cost of doing those works?
A. What I was able to estimate is, you know, what renovations - looking at the renovations, looking at receipts, looking at invoices, I knew what I had paid and I was able to form a view about whether or not that was a fair amount. If there was something that had been done that no payment had ever been made for, that is what I was looking for.
Q. You had no expertise or training or experience in making estimates of that kind, did you?
A. No, I am not a quantity surveyor or anything like that, Mr Stoljar.
Q. It was the first large building job you had done on your house?
A. It was the first - well, I had Mr James around and paid him on three occasions.
Q. Yes.
A. I had an idea of what woodwork and structural work and glasswork cost. I'd had the kitchen done, I had
tradespeople around for that, so I had some idea about what cupboards and their installation, and matters associated with plumbing and the installing of a kitchen, cost. I also had an understanding of how much all of this had cost. I had extended my mortgage by $\$ 20,000$. I had received a prepayment from Slater \& Gordon. I obviously had some funds from my salary that I'd amassed in savings. You know, we have to remember the differences in money between then and now. This is a house that I had bought for $\$ 152,000$. So when you are talking about works at the home well in excess of $\$ 20,000$, that is a lot to spend on a renovation. So, across all of that, and checking receipts, working it through, I could ascertain for myself that work that had been done had been paid for.
Q. You have read the evidence of Mr Wilson in this Commission?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. From reading that evidence, you know that even

Mr Wilson accepts he received various significant payments of cash from the Workplace Reform Association?
A. I know Mr Wilson has given evidence about the Workplace Reform Association, yes. Yes, I do.
Q. You know --
A. But not in this connection -- not in connection with that.
Q. -- Mr Wilson's evidence is that he received - even Mr Wilson's evidence is that he received various significant payments in cash from the Workplace Reform Association; is that right?
A. That is my understanding of his evidence. Not in relation to the matters we are discussing now.
Q. For example, on 12 October 2003, he says he was handed $\$ 8,000$ in cash which he used for a shop steward's committee, do you remember that?
A. I have no knowledge of those things other than reading the documents before this Commission.
Q. That's so. And then in November 2003, he says he received $\$ 8,000$ in cash and he spent it on computers, a printer, a fax machine and answering machine?
A. Once again, I have read the documents before this Commission.
Q. Do you remember him buying that equipment?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Did you observe whether or not he purchased computers, a printer, fax machine, an answering machine in November 2003? I'm sorry, in 1993.
A. I don't recall him purchasing office equipment then. I am not able to assist you as to whether or not it occurred, I don't know.
Q. Just for clarity, his evidence was that on 12 October 1993, he was handed $\$ 8,000$ for a shop steward's committee? A. Once again the only thing I know about that is that it is in the documents before this Commission.
Q. Mr Wilson also said he received $\$ 5,000$ from Mr Blewitt and he used it for telephone bills, office supplies, et cetera. You have seen that evidence?
A. Once again, all I know about that is it is in the documents before this Commission.
Q. You were in a relationship with him at the time. Did you notice whether or not during 1993 he had significant amounts of cash on him?
A. I never saw Mr Wilson with significant amounts of cash.
Q. Did you ever wonder whether Mr Wilson had some other source of income that he was using?
A. There was nothing about his conduct which caused me to wonder.
Q. Did Mr Wilson tell you that at a period in 1993 or, I think, 1994, he became angry with Mr Blewitt because he thought that Mr Blewitt had taken funds from the

Association's account?
A. No.
Q. Never mentioned that to you?
A. Never.
Q. You have given evidence about Mr James. You said that he did work over a considerable period?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. You got on well with him?
A. Yes. I mean, he was there a lot, so I chatted to him, yes.
Q. He was a careful person to your observation?
A. Well, he did the work well. I obviously would let him in in the morning and go to work and leave him to it but he did the work diligently and well.
Q. And he provided you with invoices and receipts and the like?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. You told him on a number of occasions that Mr Wilson was paying for the renovations?
A. That's completely untrue.
Q. Because Mr Wilson was in fact paying for the renovations --
A. That's just not true, Mr Stoljar.
Q. -- that's right, isn't it?
A. Just not true.
Q. You also told Mr James that as Bruce brought you cash, you would be in a position to pay his bill?
A. That's just not true.
Q. Mr Hem's evidence is that at a certain point he paid a sum of $\$ 5,000$ into your account, you are aware of that?
A. I am aware that is Mr Hem's evidence.
Q. You have dealt with this publically before. Your position, in a nutshell, is that you say you do not remember the $\$ 5,000$ being paid into your bank account?
A. I've dealt with all of this publically before.

I don't remember the $\$ 5,000$ being paid into my bank
account. \$5,000 in those days was a lot of money.
Q. Yes.
A. I think I would remember it had it occurred. I have taken every step possible to procure my bank records from the time. I wish I was in a position, Mr Stoljar, to give them to you today because I think that they would disprove this assertion by Mr Hem. We are in the unfortunate position that given the many years since, banks don't retain records for that time.
Q. Can I just ask you about a different aspect of Mr Hem's evidence. You deal with this in your third witness statement. I am not sure whether you have it there.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. It is page 4 of the bundle if that helps.
A. That is all right, I have my third witness statement.
Q. If you go to paragraph 5, you are dealing there with a number of paragraphs of Mr Hem's statement. I can take you to them if you like but, in a nutshell, his evidence in those paragraphs was to the effect that he went with - I think it was Mr Telikostoglou to the Abbotsford house and he observed Mr Telikostoglou handing over an envelope of cash to people working at the house, and your response to that is:

To the best of my recollection, the painting at my home in Abbotsford was undertaken earlier than mid-1995.

Pausing there, you say it was done in late '94, is that right, September, October, Novemberish?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. And you say:

I have no reason to believe that payment for any section of it ...

That is the painting:
... was made by any other person than me.
I have no knowledge of Bill...

That is Mr Telikostoglou.
... attending my property and purportedly paying one or more of the tradespeople as described in the statement.

You don't deny what is in Mr Hem's statement, do you?
A. Mr Stoljar, I am not in a position to give eyewitness evidence about an event that $I$ wasn't at, but I can say this: in accordance with our earlier discussion, I checked my expenditure in relation to my renovations and satisfied myself that $I$ paid for it in full. I can also say in relation to Mr Hem's statement that he does not accurately describe the home in which I lived, and I can also say in relation to Mr Hem's statement that he records a series of tradespeople being there together doing jobs and those jobs were done at separate times; that is, that there was no time when that combination of tradespeople was at work in the home.
Q. But what you say is:

I have no reason to believe that payment
for any section of it was made by any other
person than me.
I am just trying to understand that a bit better. You mean you have no reason to believe, as you sit here today, that "payment for any section of it was made by any other person other than me, and I have no knowledge of Bill attending my property". You accept then, do you, that it is a possibility that Mr Telikostoglou or Mr Collins may have arranged for some painters on behalf of Mr Wilson to come to the Abbotsford property?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And may have paid them directly for work that they carried out on that property?
A. No, because that would have become apparent to me when I looked through the payments that I made for the work, that there was painting that was done that $I$ hadn't paid for. I would also have thought that Mr Hem would be in a position to correctly describe the property. I would also have thought Mr Hem would be in a position to correctly describe the tradespeople who were at the property when he said he visited. Given Mr Hem is not in either of those positions, he has the nature of the
property wrong, the layout of it wrong, he has the combination of tradespeople wrong, and I checked that I paid for the work, no, I don't think it is possible.
Q. How do you know he has the combination or the number of tradespeople wrong?
A. Because in his statement he talks about who is doing what at the home, and those functions were done at different points.
Q. You don't say that in your statement that he has the tradespeople wrong?
A. No. We have put it in the statement:

To the best of my recollection, the painting at my home in Abbotsford was undertaken earlier than mid-1995.

That is the reference.
Q. You are familiar, I take it, with the evidence Mr Blewitt gave to this Commission?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Mr Blewitt gave evidence in May of this year to the effect that he had gone to the Abbotsford house and he handed some money to Mr Wilson who then handed it to some tradesmen. He was clear in his evidence that you were not present at the time that the money was handed over. He said only Mr Wilson did that. It is possible that that could have been some of the workmen that Mr Collins or Mr Wilson organised, do you accept that?
A. I have read Mr Blewitt's evidence. Once again I am not in a position to give eyewitness evidence of an event - an alleged event, I should have been saying "alleged" all along. I am not in a position to give evidence about an alleged event $I$ wasn't present at but I can say two things.
Q. Yes.
A. Number one: I checked my receipts and expenditures and satisfied myself that I had paid for all of the work at my Abbotsford property. Number two: the circumstances that Mr Blewitt describes are inherently improbable. I worked full-time. I worked hard as a lawyer at Slater \& Gordon. Mr Wilson worked full-time. He worked hard as a union official. There would not have been times that we were
sitting at my home while tradespeople were working. Tradespeople would have been working during the week when I was at work and so was Mr Wilson.
Q. Can we come to a period a bit earlier than the time of the exit interview. I asked you a bit about this before. In around about July or perhaps early August 1995, Mr Wilson came to you and gave you some instructions about the Members' Welfare account and then you handed that over to Mr Murphy. When did you discover what had been happening with the Workplace Reform Association?

DR HANSCOMBE: I object to that question. It is entirely clear what is meant by "What had been happening with the Workplace Reform Association".

MR STOLJAR: I will not debate it, Commissioner.
Q. When did you first discuss, in the period after Mr Wilson had come to give you initial instructions, whether with Mr Wilson or anyone else, the topic of the Workplace Reform Association?
A. My answer to that - and I do feel I need to be a bit careful here. I referred, as my statement from 1995 records, Mr Wilson to Mr Murphy. Mr Murphy subsequent to that event spoke to me about matters Mr Wilson had given him instructions on. It is my understanding, and given we are in a roomful of lawyers, I will defer to others, but it is my understanding that there is some contest around the privilege associated with those matters, and I don't want to make an error about them.

THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think there is any contest about the privilege. It is just a question of avoiding infringing it.

THE WITNESS: Yes, certainly.
MR STOLJAR: Q. I am not asking you about the Members' Welfare account. I am asking you about the association. Perhaps I will come at it this way. You have agreed with me that rumours were swirling in August/September 1995 in Slater \& Gordon about the possibility of corrupt conduct? A. There were rumours swirling at Slater \& Gordon, yes, and in some sections of the trade union movement.
Q. In part that related to the corrupt use of moneys in
connection with the acquisition of the property at Kerr Street?
A. I wouldn't necessarily say that, no. I think the first wave of rumours were really around the Members' Welfare account. There were some rumours about renovations at my home, and that all stemmed from Mr Spyridis going to the AWU looking for payment, and I deal with that in my statement and in the interview in 1995. There was, you know, general publicity. There were articles in the newspaper about police being involved. A little bit later on a Victorian State Parliamentarian got involved. So, yes, I mean all of these things were sort of swirling around. To the specific, you know, issues about Kerr Street and the Workplace Reform Association, I am not really in a position to go to that without perhaps troubling people on privilege questions.
Q. If you come to page 145 of the interview --
A. Page 145 of the interview, yes.
Q. That is tab 3. You were asked:

During the course of 1993 and 1994 were you aware of any transactions relating to the Western Australian account, the Workplace Reform Association's account?

You say:
No I was not.
You were asked:
PG: Were you aware of any donations being made to it?

JG: No I wasn't.
PG: Were you aware of any payments being made to Slater \& Gordon from that account?

JG: No I wasn't.
PG: Is it your belief today that any monies have been paid to Slater \& Gordon from out of that account for any purpose at a11?

> JG: Not that I know of. I'm not aware of any monies being paid to Slater \& Gordon from that account.

So clearly by this stage, 11 September 1995, the Workplace Reform Association was on the table as a matter for discussion?
A. Yes, I agree with that.
Q. There was a concern as to whether payments had been made to Slater \& Gordon from an account associated with the Workplace Reform Association?
A. Clearly Mr Shaw and Mr Gordon thought it was
a relevant topic for the asking of questions, yes.
Q. Presumably that payment of money was the $\$ 67,000$-odd that I took you to a bit earlier on in the examination, the cheque drawn on the account that found its way into Slater \& Gordon's trust account?

MR CLELLAND: With respect, I don't think Ms Gillard can answer that, Commissioner.

MR STOLJAR: It is a matter for Ms Gillard to indicate whether she can or she cannot.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Are you able to answer it, Ms Gillard?
A. No, I am not. The sequence of events here is instructions are given to Bernard Murphy. Mr Murphy or Justice Murphy yesterday gave as much evidence as he could, without intruding on privilege matters, about then declining to further act for Mr Wilson or the AWU, and the partnership then making some inquiries about all of this. I am really not in a position to take it any further than that.

MR STOLJAR: Q. When Mr Wilson first approached you about this matter, was he talking to you in the context of his relationship with you? Was he seeking out your legal advice?
A. When Mr Wilson came to discuss with me the Members' Welfare account, he came to Slater \& Gordon. He was raising it with me in my capacity as a solicitor. I felt uncomfortable about acting on the matter; I referred it to Mr Murphy.
Q. Yes. That is the Members' Welfare account. What I want to ask you about is the Workplace Reform Association which is what is being dealt with on page 145. Clearly you had, by 11 September 1995, found out more about the Workplace Reform Association?
A. Well, I hadn't in the sense that when Mr Wilson came in to give me instructions about the Members' Welfare account - the only account of concern under discussion at that time was the Members' Welfare account, I referred the matter to Mr Murphy. Mr Murphy took more comprehensive instructions. Subsequent to that obviously the partnership then took a series of steps, and one of those steps was the discussion with me, and the record of it is before you.
Q. Did you give consideration to alerting the AWU to the fact that moneys had been travelling in or out of the Workplace Reform Association's account?
A. For my state of knowledge and for what I was doing, I was not the partner - well, either of the partners, any of the partners. I was not amongst the partners who were making inquiries about this matter.
Q. Did you raise it with Mr Wilson just in your personal capacity to say, "What on earth is going on?"
A. Subsequent to these events I had a discussion with Mr Wilson where he was evasive and I formed the view that I had not been fully in the picture about the nature of his conduct, and I took steps to end our relationship.
Q. That had already occurred, that conversation, I take it, by the time of the interview?
A. Yes, that's right. And I think it is referred to in the interview at the end.
Q. I asked you some questions about your involvement in incorporated associations with any other unions. You are familiar with Ms Darveniza?
A. Yes, I know Ms Darveniza.
Q. From the HSU?
A. She is in Victorian Parliament.
Q. Yes. Back in the period from 1989, she was the Secretary of the No. 2 Branch of the HSU?
A. Yes, she was.
Q. Slater \& Gordon commenced doing work for the No. 2 Branch?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. It did that work through you?
A. Some through me. Not exclusively through me.
Q. Did you have a meeting with Ms Darveniza and

Mr Elliott at their home in Melbourne at which you discussed the possibility of setting up an incorporated association?
A. No.
Q. Before you answer that, back in the early 1990s?
A. Obviously I was in a position to watch the evidence given this morning. No, I did not have such a meeting with them.
Q. I am not asking whether you set it up. I am asking whether you questioned Ms Darveniza in particular as to whether she would be interested in establishing such a fund?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Mr Elliott, as you will know from the evidence this morning, in 2012 made a statement. This morning he said that the statement was incorrect. I am just putting you in the picture about what his evidence was, but can I ask you this: was there a reform group operating in the HSU in the late 1980s involving Ms Darveniza and Mr Elliott?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. Did you give both legal advice and political counsel to that reform group from time to time?
A. I was supportive - at that point it was Kaye Williams.

She has subsequently reverted to her maiden name which is Darveniza. I was supportive of Kaye Williams and Mr Elliott's campaign in the HSU. I didn't give them any advice about incorporating an association but, yes, I was politically supportive of their campaign.
Q. What Mr Elliott said in his statement was that:

It was generally understood that success
for Ms Gillard's allies in union elections
was of benefit to Ms Gillard's political
career and, in turn, Ms Gillard's
advancement would benefit those unions (and the union movement generally).

Is that a fair statement?
A. Well, I would say I had a view that Ms Williams would be a competent union official. As it was, you know, these events you are taking me to, I think, 89-90, is that right?
Q. The date is a little uncertain but it is ' 89 at the earliest and probably in the early 1990s as I understood the evidence this morning.
A. Yes. As you may well be able to research about my political career, it took me until the 1998 election to be successful in preselected and elected.
Q. I will just put this to you for your comment, Ms Gillard, you can tell me whether you agree with it or not. He says in 56 of his statement:

Such was the closeness of the political relationship that Ms Gillard felt able, on one occasion, to offer, at a meeting of me, her and another senior HSU official ...

I will ask you to assume that is Ms Darveniza:
... to undertake the legal work
to establish a fundraising entity, outside
of the union, to raise funds for the
re-election in the HSU of the officers of that entity but established for the ostensible purpose of promoting occupational health and safety in the health industry.

Is that a correct statement?
A. No. As in the event referred to did not happen.
Q. And he says in paragraph 57:

This offer was not taken up by me or others on the basis that it seemed an exotic and suspect arrangement particularly since the promotion of workplace health and safety was the proper preserve of the union itself.

Did Mr Elliott or Ms Darveniza ever say anything to you to that effect?
A. No.

MR STOLJAR: Excuse me just one moment, Commissioner. Nothing further. Thank you, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr Galbally, do you have any questions?

MR GALBALLY: I do, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just make this prefatory statement. These questions ought to satisfy two conditions. One is that they relate to some respect in which Ms Gillard's evidence impacts on Mr Blewitt's position. The other condition is that the questioning not re-traverse ground which Mr Stoljar has traversed. In other words, if you have a different angle on old ground, that is one thing. If you are just trying to do it better than Mr Stoljar, that is another thing.

MR GALBALLY: I will not be trying to do it better than Mr Stoljar. He has canvassed many of the issues that I would have otherwise embarked upon.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we start and see how we go.
MR GALBALLY: Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Are you content with that?
MR CLELLAND: Thank you, Commissioner, yes.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR GALBALLY:

MR GALBALLY: Q. Ms Gillard, Bob Galbally is my name and I represent Ralph Blewitt. You have had the opportunity of reading the transcript of Mr Blewitt's evidence --
A. Yes, I have.
Q. -- given back in May?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. I think I heard you say recently that you also have read the transcript of the evidence given by Mr Wilson?
A. Yes, I have. I don't have it in front of me but

I have read it.
Q. Do you understand that their evidence is essentially at odds with each other?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. That your evidence might be relevant to deciding where the facts lie and who should be believed?

THE COMMISSIONER: I am not sure that using Ms Gillard as a witness of someone else's credit is really an appropriate use of the process we are engaged on. Maybe I should not interrupt and let Mr Clelland interrupt --

MR GALBALLY: Thank you, Commissioner --
THE COMMISSIONER: -- if he wishes to.
MR GALBALLY: -- I am happy with that.
Q. I wanted to ask you some questions about your history. I am not going to duplicate the evidence that you have already given, but in the 1980s, you were firstly a law student and then you became admitted to practice?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. You joined Slater \& Gordon in 1988?
A. I think it was 1987.

THE COMMISSIONER: We have been through all this.
MR GALBALLY: Q. Prior to you joining Slater \& Gordon, had you been involved in formulating objects or purposes of other unincorporated associations?

MR CLELLAND: This was the subject of questioning by Mr Stoljar this morning, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think that is true.
MR GALBALLY: Briefly, this witness gave --
THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have a specific question?
MR GALBALLY: I have.
THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

MR GALBALLY: Q. You mentioned this morning the Socialist Forum?
A. Yes, I did, and it is mentioned in the documents.
Q. Did you do work in relation to that in the mid-1980s?
A. I am just thinking about when the time would have been. Yes, it would have been the mid-1980s, that's correct.
Q. Were you on the committee of management?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Did the committee of management decide to incorporate?
A. Yes. There was a decision to - it is going back a long time, but the Socialist Forum decided to incorporate.
Q. You don't have to go through the history of it.
A. No, no, I assisted with that work, as did Mr Lang.
Q. You wrote a small paper explaining the benefits of incorporation?
A. I didn't recall that. I understand it is floating around the internet somewhere now.
Q. We can probably cut this short. Apart from working on the objects of the Socialist Forum, did you have other experience in relation to rules of unincorporated associations?
A. Incorporated or unincorporated?
Q. Unincorporated.
A. I'd had experience drafting rules. I mean Mr Lang and

I - predominantly Mr Lang - worked on the rules for
Emily's List, a Labor Women's organisation. One of the tasks I undertook when I first came to Slater \& Gordon and still was doing commercial work was drafting rules for - actually, it was insurance - a company.
Q. All right.
A. So I had experience drafting rules.
Q. So when it came to 1991 and Mr Wilson asked you to assist in having the Workplace Reform Association registered, you had had experience in that area?
A. As I indicated in response to Mr Stoljar's questions,

I had been involved in two incorporations as discussed, so that is the experience.
Q. You knew the difference between an unincorporated association and, for example, an election fund?

MR CLELLAND: Commissioner, that is --
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. That has been --
MR CLELLAND: -- Practice Direction 1.
DR HANSCOMBE: I support that objection.
MR GALBALLY: I will move on.
Q. Ms Gillard, you met Mr Wilson in 1991?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. He, to your knowledge, had recently been elected as Secretary of the Western Australian Branch?
A. Not at the time that I met Mr Wilson, no. He was not Secretary of the Western Australia Branch at that time.
Q. Did he tell you that he had formed an election fund called the New Leadership Fund or New Leadership Group?
A. No, he did not.
Q. You have never heard that description?
A. No, I haven't heard that description.
Q. Was it in August of 1991 that you commenced doing some legal work for the Australian Workers Union?
A. It was in 1991, yes. I met Mr Wilson I think in April and later in 1991 started performing some legal work.
Q. Do you recall writing a letter in August of 1991 to the Western Australian Branch about their rules, a four-page letter, is that familiar to you?
A. I don't recall it, no.
Q. That hasn't been brought to your attention?
A. No, it has not.
Q. When you met him, or after you met him, he became the Secretary of the Western Australian Branch?
A. Yes. At a later point, that's right.
Q. There was a possibility, wasn't there, that at some stage in the future he could be appointed General Secretary of the Australian Workers Union?
A. No. By the time I met Mr Wilson, he had run unsuccessfully for the position of - it is either National President or National Secretary. He had run on a ticket with Mr Ludwig and one of them had succeeded and one of them had failed.
Q. When he sought your assistance to register the association, the Workplace Reform Association, you knew there had been a failed attempt to do that?

DR HANSCOMBE: I object to that question. The witness has repeatedly said that both Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt were giving her instructions. He has now put twice that only Mr Wilson was giving the instructions. In my submission, it is important to get these things right.

MR GALBALLY: Perhaps we can clarify.
THE COMMISSIONER: What you say is correct but Mr Galbally is entitled to seek to get the witness to change her evidence. It may not be easy, but he is entitled to try.

DR HANSCOMBE: If the Commission please.
MR GALBALLY: Q. The first instructions you received to attempt to register the Workplace Reform Association was given to you by whom?
A. As I gave evidence earlier today, I received instructions from Mr Wilson and Mr Blewitt.
Q. Both together?
A. As I gave evidence earlier today - I don't precisely recall each conversation, but I do have a general recollection Mr Blewitt and Mr Wilson gave me instructions to assist with the incorporation.
Q. Do you agree that the rules and the application pre-suppose that there is a loose group of people already in existence as an association?
A. Well, as I gave evidence earlier today, there was a group of people who had come together to take over the by way of appointment. The former Secretary of the Western Australian Branch had resigned, Mr Wilson had filled his
spot and had a team that had come in with him and that they were a combination, a team.
Q. You were acting as a lawyer in this task?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ask who the five members were?
A. No. I've given that evidence earlier today. I would have advised them about the minimum membership requirements.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Galbally, we are retracing almost word-for-word evidence given this morning. Again you may like to try and get the witness to change her evidence, but it is trespassing on well-trodden ground.

MR GALBALLY: Q. Ms Gillard, you did not open a file in relation to this matter?

THE COMMISSIONER: Been there too.
MR GALBALLY: $Q$. Is it so that the legal work you undertook in relation to this Workplace Reform Association took something like three or four months?
A. Well, the timing is in the documents, but in terms of the personal labours by me, I was asked a question about that by Mr Stoljar and said it was in the order of three, four, five hours' work.
Q. Were you asked to undertake this task in early 1991, around about January or February?
A. I have given my best recollection as to the timing al ready .
Q. You were a Victorian lawyer?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were asked to do work in Western Australia?
A. Work under Western Australian law.
Q. Yes. Did you study the Western Australian legislation?

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Galbally, I will really ask you to concentrate on the matter in hand. No doubt this witness is very well capable of looking after herself, but she has been in the box a long time. It is a very tiring place to
be. We have Mr Clelland yet to extract evidence from his own client if he wants to; Dr Hanscombe may well wish to ask some questions. The Commission has a very full day tomorrow. No doubt the witness has a very full day tomorrow. It is simply not possible for us to either sit very late tonight or start early tomorrow. We have to concentrate on new material or a new insight on old material.

MR GALBALLY: Thank you, Commissioner.
Q. At the time you undertook the preparation of the power of attorney, had you had any expertise in the preparation of a power of attorney?
A. At Slater \& Gordon at this time - obviously life would be different now, but at Slater \& Gordon at this time there were precedent folders and you would dictate the variables. So there would be a power of attorney precedent. Variable one would be the name, you would dictate that, and the tape would be taken to word-processing and the document generated.
Q. Do you recall who asked you to prepare this power of attorney?
A. As I have referred to in, I think, the 1995 interview, it arose out of discussions about Mr Blewitt wanting to buy an investment property, the decision narrowing down to bidding on the Kerr Street property, and Mr Blewitt not being personally able to be there to do that bidding.
Q. You opened a file within days, did you not, of the auction at Kerr Street?
A. A conveyancing file?
Q. Yes.
A. No, I did not.
Q. You instructed someone else to do that?
A. I had never operated a conveyancing file. I would have referred Mr Blewitt as a client to the conveyancing section of Slater \& Gordon, and they would have opened the file.
Q. It wasn't your responsibility to obtain instructions from Mr Blewitt about settlement periods?
A. Most certainly not.
Q. Where funds came from, et cetera?
A. Most certainly not.
Q. In 1995 when you were asked questions by Mr Shaw and Mr Gordon, they asked you the questions - and I am not going to go over them - about receipts and invoices and so forth. It is later that year, is it not, around November/December that this issue was raised in State Parliament?
A. It was later in the year. I couldn't give you precise dates, but the Hansard obviously can be recovered from that.
Q. Did you think it prudent at that point in time to cobble together all of the paperwork you had in order to meet any allegations that were made about this in the future?

MR CLELLAND: We renew the objection or persist with it, Commissioner. We didn't object on the power of attorney. We understand there is a difference between Mr Blewitt and Ms Gillard's evidence, but, on this point, in our submission, this is not in issue between Mr Blewitt and Ms Gillard.

THE COMMISSIONER: Just give me a moment. I think there is some force in that objection.

MR GALBALLY: I think there is, Commissioner, yes.
Q. Ms Gillard, I suggest to you that your association with Mr Wilson in the early 1990s has led to your judgment being clouded about matters of ethics and running files? A. Completely untrue.

MR CLELLAND: I object to the question.
THE COMMISSIONER: It is very vague.
Q. Have you answered that question, Ms Gillard?
A. I said it is completely untrue.

MR GALBALLY: They are the questions I have for this witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. Dr Hanscombe?

DR HANSCOMBE: I have no questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Clelland?
MR CLELLAND: Nothing, sir. Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Stoljar?
MR STOLJAR: I have nothing further. Thank you, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: No objection to Ms Gillard being excused?

MR STOLJAR: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Ms Gillard, you are excused from further attendance. Thank you very much for coming along today to answer all these questions.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any other witness we need to do this afternoon?

MR STOLJAR: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: So we will adjourn until 10 tomorrow morning?

MR STOLJAR: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: The hearing will resume at 10.00am tomorrow.

AT 3.35PM THE COMMISSION ADJOURNED UNTIL THURSDAY, 11 SEPTEMBER 2014, AT 10.00AM
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